TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING SEPTEMBER 11. 2006

The regular meeting of the Township Committee of the Township of Cranbury was held at 7:00 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room. Answering present to the roll call was: Township Committee members: Richard Stannard, Pari Stave, David Stout, Wayne Wittman and Mayor Thomas F. Panconi, Jr. Also present were: Trishka Waterbury, Esquire, Attorney, Cathleen Marcelli, Engineer, Thomas C. Witt, Administrator and Kathleen R. Cunningham, Clerk. Mayor Panconi led in the salute to the flag and Ms. Cunningham gave the following Open Public Meetings Act statement:

In accordance with Section 5 of the Open Public Meetings Act, it is hereby announced and shall be entered into the minutes of this meeting that adequate notice of this meeting has been provided:

- (1) Posted on December 7, 2005 on the Bulletin Board of the Municipal Office at 23-A North Main Street, Cranbury, New Jersey and remains posted at that location.
- (2) Communicated to the Cranbury Press, Home News Tribune and Trenton Times on December 7, 2005.
- (3) Was filed on December 7, 2005 with a Deputy Municipal Clerk at the Cranbury Municipal Office, 23-A North Main Street, Cranbury, New Jersey and remains on file for public inspection, and
- (4) Sent to those individuals who have requested personal notice.

Mayor Panconi led the meeting with a moment of silence for victims and their families of the terrorists attack on September 11, 2001.

Regular Committee Minutes of August 28, 2006 and August 31, 2006

On motion by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Stout and unanimously carried, the minutes of August 28, 2006 were unanimously adopted (with Mr. Wittman abstaining for a portion of the August 28th minutes, due to his early departure from the meeting).

On motion by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Wittman and unanimously carried, the minutes of August 31, 2006 were adopted with Messrs. Stannard and Stout abstaining.

Closed Session Minutes of August 28, 2006

Ms. Cunningham, Clerk, announced that the Closed Session minutes would need to be carried until the meeting of September 25, 2006 as Counsel had been on vacation and had not had time to review them.

Reports and Communications

--Mayor

Mayor Panconi reported he had attended a kick-off meeting at the Police Station for the C.E.R.T. Training Class and indicated there were approximately 28 people attended. Mayor Panconi explained the class is for Community Emergency Response Training and Chief Hansen would be giving a report on the program later in the meeting under the Work Session. Mayor Panconi reported he had met with the Fire Company on Saturday morning. The Fire Company had responded to 19 calls in July; 16 of the calls were from 6:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. and three (3) of the calls from 6:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m.; seven (7) of the calls were between Monday and Friday between 7:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Eleven of the calls were "flow" alarms. Two calls were to assist Station 42, one (1) for careless cooking, one(1) broken sprinkler head, one (1) wire down, one (1) trash fire and one (1) automobile fire and one (1) carbon monoxide. In August the Fire Company had responded to 26 calls; 17 from 6:00 to 6:00 p.m. and nine (9) from 6:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m.; 10 calls were Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.; 4 accident calls, two assisting

Reports and Communications --Mayor (Continued)

Station 49, one (1) wire down, twelve "flow" alarms, brush fire and careless cooking. Mayor Panconi reported a paving company hooked up to a fire hydrant and chose a hydrant which caused the "flow" alarm to be activated. Mayor Panconi indicated to Mr. Witt, Administrator, he would be letting the Fire Official know and Mr. Witt indicated no one is allowed to hook up to any hydrant. Mayor Panconi reported the Personnel subcommittee had met at 6:00 p.m. earlier in the evening to discuss the Planning and Zoning Board using the Office Assistant who had been hired by the Clerk's Office for four hours a week. The Personnel subcommittee felt that this was not a problem.

--Members of Committee

Mr. Wittman reported the Master Plan Recreation subcommittee had met earlier in the day to discuss the proposed recreation and open space plan. Mr. Wittman indicated there was a tentative meeting scheduled for October 18, 2006 for a public hearing.

--Subcommittees

Agenda Additions/Changes

Ms. Cunningham, Clerk, announced there was no need to consider the last item on the Closed Session Resolution as the Personnel subcommittee had met and discussed the issue at their earlier meeting.

Ms. Stave requested Cranbury Township Ordinance # 08-06-22 be carried until the meeting of September 25, 2006, as Counsel to the Lions Club had not reviewed the proposed lease.

Ordinances
Second Reading

Cranbury Township Ordinance # 08-06-20

A motion to enter an Ordinance entitled, "Cranbury Township Ordinance # 08-06-20 , AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY, MIDDLESEX COUNTY, NEW JERSEY, CONCERNING OUTDOOR DINING AND REVISING THE CODE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY BY AMENDING CHAPTER 150. LAND DEVELOPMENT AND ADDING A NEW CHAPTER 106. OUTDOOR DINING", was presented for second reading and final adoption. The Ordinance was published in the Cranbury Press, posted on the Township Bulletin Board and copies were available to the public. The Mayor opened the public hearing on the Ordinance. Ms. Margery Guttman, 42 North Main Street, stated she lives directly across the Street from Hannah and Masons and one half blocks from Teddy's and one block from the pizza restaurant. Ms. Guttman stated the Ordinance was reasonable and fair however was concerned how the time limit would be monitored and enforced if diners stay past 10:00 p.m. Mayor Panconi asked if there had been a problem thus far with the outdoor diners. Ms. Guttman stated they did not, however, Hannah and Masons had not been allowed to conduct evening outdoor dining. Ms. Guttman indicated presently, the staff of Hannah and Masons stands outside talking after 10:00 p.m. Mayor Panconi stated it was his understanding customers would be told when they enter the eating establishment that after 10:00 p.m. they must leave and if not, someone should call the police or a Township Committee Member. Mayor Panconi read an e-mail, sent by Mr. Jim Golubieski, asking if on special event days (Cranbury Day) would the rules be waived. The Township Committee indicated the rules were waived in the parks on special event days and would be for outdoor dining. No one else present wished to speak, so the hearing was declared closed. On motion by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Wittman, the Ordinance was adopted by a vote:

Ayes: (Panconi Abstain: (None

Cranbury Township Ordinance # 08-06-20 (Continued)

(Stannard Absent: (None

(Stave (Stout (Wittman

Nays: (None

Resolution

Consent Agenda

On motion offered by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Stannard, the following Consent Agenda Resolutions were adopted by vote:

Ayes: (Panconi Abstain: (None (Stannard Absent: (None

(Stave (Stout (Wittman

Nays: (None

Cranbury Township Resolution # R 09-06-116

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Township of Cranbury that all bills and claims as audited and found to be correct be paid.

Cranbury Township Resolution # R 09-06-117

WHEREAS, the Tax Collector has determined, there were erroneous paid taxes from various mortgage companies, which caused overpayments on some parcels for the 2006 property taxes,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Township Committee of the Township of Cranbury that the following 2006 erroneously paid property taxes be refunded:

Block	Lot	Amount
21	43	\$ 2,469.42
32	8	1,852.20
23	59	2,547.68

CERTIFICATION

I, Kathleen R. Cunningham, Township Clerk of the Township of Cranbury, hereby certify that this is a true copy of a Resolution which was adopted at a regular meeting on September 11, 2006.

Kathleen R. Cunningham, Clerk

Reports from Township staff and professionals

Ms. Waterbury, Township Attorney, reported on Friday, September 8, 2006 the Township had received two bids for the cell tower, with Cingular having the highest bid of \$113,536 over five years one from Sprint. Ms. Waterbury stated her office was still reviewing the bids to make sure they conform to the bid specifications and would let the Township Committee know if Cingular's bid is in conformance.

Reports from Township Boards and Commissions

Mr. Dale Smith, Chairperson of the Zoning Board spoke, stating he thought it was appropriate to speak concerning an application before the Zoning Board from Sprint for a cell tower at the residence of Mr. Arthur Hasselbach on Route 130. Mr. Smith indicated the application is for a monopole tower 150 feet high on the East side of Route 130. The application was first heard in August and again Wednesday evening. The Zoning Board was not able to complete the hearing and it has carried it over to the October 4th meeting. Mr. Smith reported the Zoning Board has retained the services of an expert to review the testimony given by Sprint for the application and to assist the Board in determining if other options are available on the site. Mayor Panconi asked, in light of what is going on at the Zoning Board, if Ms. Waterbury, Township Attorney, would be able to discuss at the September 25th meeting, the Township's proposed cell tower. Ms. Waterbury indicated she would be prepared to discuss the issue.

Ms. Beth Veghte, Recreation Chairperson, reported the Recreation Commission had held its first very successful "community camp out" Saturday evening at Village Park.

Work Session

a. <u>Discussion of Possible 208 Area Addition (David Stout)</u>

Mr. Stout led the discussion in reference to a letter which had been sent to the Township by Richard Goldman, Attorney for Trammel Crow and/or Cranbury Brickyard Road LLC, on August 4, 2006, requesting the Township consider and seek an amendment to the Lower Raritan Middlesex County Wastewater Management Plan to include the site (the former Unexcelled property) in the designated sewer service area for Cranbury Township. Mr. Stout stated along with the letter a Planner's Master Report was transmitted, prepared by Maser Consulting Services. Mr. Stout stated, after reviewing the documents provided by the Attorney, he could not grant the applicant's request for a number of reasons and reference in particular, incomplete documentation pertaining to D.E.P. rules and regulations. Mr. Stout indicated in his review he had not seen an analysis of why the sewer service area was the best approach to the requirements and further stated in addition to the rules and regulations for the application, there were several environmental reasons as well. Mr. Stout stated granting the applicant's request at this time would be contrary to the State's existing plan that identifies a large portion of the property as an environmentally sensitive area. Mr. Stout pointed out that the Township had agreed to that particular designation of the property in its cross -designation process. Also, granting the approval would be contrary to the Township's Master Plan which excludes the site from the sewer service area. Mr. Stout stated subsequent to the applicant's submittal, the Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed Association, a nonprofit organization with relevant expertise had submitted to the Township a well-reasoned basis for the Township to consider in its review of the applicant's request. Mr. William Mikula, Acting Chairperson, Environmental Commission, stated the Environmental Commission concurred with Mr. Stout's remarks concerning the applicant's letter and also with the September 8, 2006 letter provided to the Township from the Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed Association. Mr. Mikula stated the Environmental Commission considers the site as an environmentally significant, a unique and important site in the Township, as indicated in the Environmental Resources Inventory as well as documents prepared by the Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed Association in the past. The property contains environmental areas, tremendous diversity of flora and its features should be protected. Mr. Mikula stated the proposed action was inconsistent with Statewide planning and the impact of any development on the site had not been researched and prepared. Mr. Mikula recommended, on behalf of the Environmental Commission, the application be denied. Mr. James Waltman, Executive Director, Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed Association spoke to the Township Committee, indicating the Water Shed Association was opposing the application. Mr. Waltman also requested the letter he had sent to the Township Committee members on September 8, 2006 be part of

Work Session

a. <u>Discussion of Possible 208 Area Addition (David Stout)</u>

the record (See Addendum A at end of minutes). Mr. Waltman indicated he had given four reasons in the letter why the application should be rejected as well as a long list of questions and issues which should be addressed by applicant before any decision about the sewer service area should be entertained. In addition, the site is an environmentally sensitive area. Ms. Stave agreed with Mr. Stout's recommendation and stressed at the very least, the Township should wait for more

information to come forward from the applicant. Mr. Wittman concurred with Mr. Stout's remarks and indicated he would endorse some development on the site and asked what was some. Mr. Wittman further stated he had been "appalled" by the plan shown and would never approve it if it were shown today. Mr. Stout clarified he was only speaking about the 208 service area issue and not development of the site. Mr. Richard Goldman, Esquire, representing Cranbury Brickyard LLC. gave a brief summary of the the cleanup work that had been done so far and what was planned for the future. Mr. Goldman stated the site presents a very difficult problem in relationship to planning due to the problem with the munitions still left on the site from an explosion in the 1950s. Mr. Goldman reported the costs of cleaning up the site will be in excess of \$10-million and reported the applicant is willing to discuss the planning of the site. Mr. Goldman indicated the applicant was not looking to adopt a 208 amendment, rather were asking to start a dialogue with the Township and was sure there had been a misunderstanding with his letter. Mr. Stout and other members of the Township Committee remarked the letter conveyed that a decision needed to be made by the Township Committee this evening. Mr. Goldman expressed his apology for the miscommunication over his client's intentions. Mr. Goldman requested the Township set up a subcommittee and an escrow account (to allow the applicant to be able to work with the Township Professionals). Mr. Stout stated he was in favor of setting up a subcommittee and working together. Mr. Stout pointed out there was a legal order in place to clean up the site and when the owner bought the parcel had assumed the order which existed. Mr. Goldman referenced the site was zoned for industrial environment and indicated the entire site was at risk for munitions. Mr. Goldman referenced at a previous meeting, Township Committee agreed they were not looking to make the site a public park. Mr. Goldman expressed a desire to meet with Stony Brook to determine in what way they could work together to make a site which would agree with everyone. Mr. Goldman reported the applicant intends to have the same amount of wetlands at the site that exist presently and the development is not intended to disturb the wetlands (no net loss of wetlands). Mayor Panconi referenced an e-mail he had received from Mr. Dietrich Wahlers, member of the Environmental Commission, recommending a meeting be set up between the Commissioner of the State Department of Environmental Protection and Cranbury Township to discuss remediation of the site. Mr. Stout expressed a desire to meet with many individuals with the State D.E.P., as the Township would have to deal with many Departments and not just one. Mayor Panconi indicated he would like to serve on the subcommittee and asked Mr. Stout to also serve. Mr. Stout recommended establishing boundaries for what dialogue will be discussed. Ms. Judy Dossin, Wynnewood Drive, asked if the site was going to be cleaned up completely and raised her concern that it would not be able to be entirely cleaned up. Mr. Goldman responded an 80 acre area will be cleaned up; however, a lot of the munitions go very deep; if all the trees on the 400 acre site were cleared all could be found. The D.E.P. has felt the important issue is to find everything within the 80 acre site. Mr. Stout raised his concern that not everything ended up within the 80 acre area when the munitions plant exploded and asked if there was a mandate to clean up the areas off site. Mr. Dennis Toft, Environmental Counsel for Viridian Partners, the owners, stated they are complying with the technical requirements for site remediation and part of those requirements are to track materials which may have gone off site. Mr. Toft explained even with the 80 acre area there is a strong possibility that everything will not be found and most likely the applicant will have to put an environmental cap on the 80 acre parcel. Mr. David Nissen, Evans Drive, asked what would happen in the Township should decide to turn down the 208 request and the owner walks—whose obligation would it be to clean up the site. Mr. Stout responded the Township did not learn Work Session (Continued)

a. Discussion of Possible 208 Area Addition (David Stout)(cont'd)

about the problem with the site until the County wanted to purchase the entire parcel. Mr. Stout stated the D.E.P. entered into an Agreement with the former owner, a French bank. The bank then sold the parcel to Viridian Partners. Mr. David Cook, North Main Street, asked if the company would be able to sustain the cost of the cleanup without a 208 amendment. Mr. Goldman responded it could not generate the income required to pay for the cost of the cleanup without any assistance from outside funds. Mr. Stout asked what controls were in place at the site to protect the public. A gentleman from Viridian responded they have placed signs all over the parcel, however, a hunting club has been utilizing the site, despite the signage. Mr. John Ritter, Plainsboro Road, asked someone to explain what the risks are should someone walk on the property should no one

clean it up. Mr. Dennis Toft, Counsel, responded there is always a chance that contamination would migrate through the air and groundwater and there are risks even if people do not walk on the property.

b. Discussion of Stream Corridor Ordinance (David Stout)

Mr. Stout led the discussion by stating one of the objectives of the Township's Master Plan was to protect the stream corridors for the benefit of clean and plentiful water as well as for esthetics and other environmental benefits to the residents. Mr. Stout indicated, in working with the Township's professionals and the Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed, there has been a draft of a stream corridor and critical area ordinance and recommended establishing a subcommittee to work on the issue so the Township could move forward to make progress on the Ordinance. Messrs. Stout and Wittman volunteered to sit on the subcommittee. Mr. Preiss, Township Planner also agreed to serve on the subcommittee. Mr. James Waltman, Executive Director, Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed Association, along with his staff, Ms. Susan Charkes and Ms. Chris Altomari, spoke to the Township Committee about the benefits of implementing a Stream Corridor Ordinance and recommended the Township adopt an Ordinance which limits development and clearing of native vegetation within a stream corridor and referenced the draft ordinance they had been working on with the Township's Environmental Commission and recommended 150 feet from the bank of the stream would be most appropriate. Mr. Stout stated all the work that had been performed by the Stony Brook Millstone Water Shed was done at no cost to the Township, since they are a non-profit organization. Mr. Waltman indicated there had been nine (9) towns thus far within the Water Shed who had adopted a stream corridor ordinance. Ms. Waterbury, Township Attorney, stated the State also recommended adopting a Stream Corridor Ordinance as part of the Storm Water regulations. Mr. Wittman requested Ms. Waterbury provide to the subcommittee a copy of the other towns' ordinances. Mr. William Bauder, Petty Road, asked the timetable for the Ordinance and urged the Township Committee to adopt the Ordinance soon. A resident of North Main Street asked if the Township would provide compensation to those homeowners whose property lots would be affected by the Ordinance.

c. Monthly report by Chief Jay Hansen(Jay Hansen)

Chief Jay Hansen gave his monthly report for the Police Department to the Township Committee. Chief Hansen reported the overtime had been within the year's budgeted amount and gave the following statistics for traffic violations: 116 total violations issued; 52 for speeding, 64 other violations. 77-total in the Village area, 45 of them for speeding, 26- Route 130, 6 of them for speeding, East of 130, 1 speeding. The bike patrol had been out 19 days total, 5 hours during the evening hours. There had been one (1) violation for butchering a pig in Village Park. Chief Hansen also reported the C.E.R.T. Program had begun the previous Tuesday and thanked the Mayor for stopping by at the first meeting and the Township Committee for supporting the program. Chief Hansen explained, the C.E.R.T. Program is a 12 week course for residents who wish to be involved in Emergency Response—a total of 29 individuals had signed up with a majority of them living in the new Four Seasons at Cranbury development. The C.E.R.T. program is a 2 to 2 ½ hour program

Work Session (Continued)

c. Monthly report by Chief Jay Hansen (Jay Hansen)(cont'd)
each week. Chief Hansen reported Captain Kahler had spoken before the Lions Club recently
concerning home safety. Chief Hansen invited the public to attend the Police Building open house

on Saturday, September 30, 2006 from 10:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m.

Public Comment (For those items not on the Agenda)

Ms. Beth Veghte asked if a few of the crosswalks on Main Street (by the pizza restaurant and Station Road) could be lit at night, indicating it is very difficult to see pedestrians. Ms. Stave responded the Traffic subcommittee had been discussing the issue and would be reporting to the Township Committee shortly on how to make those intersections safer. Ms. Veghte also requested a pedestrian cone at the cross walks by Shadow Oaks and the Millstone as it is very hazardous for residents to cross. Ms. Veghte also asked why the curbs were not fixed prior to milling and paving North Main Street. Mr. Witt responded, indicating the milling and paving had been done through

PSE&G and not the Township and could not have been done. Mr. Witt also stated the County would not fix the curbs on Main Street previously and had indicated it was the homeowner's responsibility. Mayor Panconi reported the contractor will be coming back to re-strip some areas they had covered over. Mr. Witt also reported the County had agreed to mill and pave the other side of North Main Street.

Mr. David Nissen, Evans Drive, spoke concerning the cell tower issue. Mr. Nissen asked the Township to have a general procedural meeting with the residents to discuss the cell tower issue and allow the residents to speak. Mr. Wittman reminded everyone there would be a public discussion at the September 25th Township Committee meeting. Mr. Andre Menout, 3 Wynnewood Drive, concurred with Mr. Nissen's remarks and stated, in testimony by the applicant at the Zoning Board meeting, substantially the same service with the qualifier, possibly better service might be developed on the site where the existing tower is located at the Fire House, but in either case, multiple towers would still be required, perhaps further to the West in Plainsboro Township or South in East Windsor Township. Mr. Menout urged the Township to be sensitive to the fact that the ways the current laws are provided, the Township is under a unique position to accept or reject a tower and stated because the Township owns the property (at the Fire House) it is not required to follow the same rules as a private individual seeking approval, i.e. setbacks, notification to adjoining properties, H.P.C. approval, etc. Mr. Menout further stated, at a minimum, that power deserved a public forum and very careful consideration for bids which are being submitted. Ms. Waterbury, Township Attorney, clarified how the circumstances came to be that the Township received bids to construct a cell tower at the Fire Station site, while Sprint has an application pending before the Zoning Board. Ms. Waterbury indicated it was her understanding, when she had spoken with Sprint's Attorney awhile ago, Sprint had made a conscientious business decision to pursue both applications on parallel tracks and see which one came to fruition first--they may want both sites or no sites. Ms. Waterbury also stated it was not within the Township's control, rather a decision Sprint had made which led to a very unusual set of circumstances and put everyone in a complicated situation. Ms. Alana Stops, member of the Historic Preservation Commission, asked if the cell tower in existence now had a FCC License. Ms. Waterbury indicated she did not know, however, the present tower is only used for emergency uses and has no carriers. Ms. Stops then asked when the tower was constructed. Mr. Wittman responded the tower had been constructed when the Fire House was built in 1995. Ms. Stops asked if the Township intended to file an application to the State for project authorization which is a requirement under the New Jersey State law. Ms. Waterbury responded that is a requirement for the carrier who is awarded the bid. Ms. Waterbury explained further, the carrier would have to comply with all State and Federal regulations and get all approvals and added, those requirements were spelled out in the bid specs. Ms. Stops stated the application for project approval would require a meeting for the project authorization with local officials and H.P.C. officials in order for residents to be able to comment on the location of the tower itself and how it would impact the historic district and even though the Township is exempt in certain requirements, there still would have to be a

Public Comment (For those items not on the Agenda)(Continued)

public meeting. Mr. Stout asked Mr. Wittman if the Township had followed the same procedure in 1995 when the existing tower was erected. Mr. Wittman responded the Township had never applied for a FCC license, however, there had been considerable debate before the tower was erected. Ms. Betty Wagner, North Main Street, spoke in favor of having a public meeting on the issue. Mayor Panconi responded that the public meeting would be held during the Township Committee meeting on September 25, 2006.

Mr. Robert Smithers, Petty Road, asked about an Ordinance which had been adopted at the last meeting concerning reappropriating funds. Ms. Cunningham, Clerk responded Mr. Smithers could download the Ordinance from the Township Committee's web site and Mr. Witt explained the appropriations were not for any new expenditure, rather funds left over and recommended if Mr. Smithers had any questions he should call Ms. Marabello, Chief Financial Officer on Wednesday when she would be in.

Mr. Tom Ingegneri, 11 Symmes Court, asked for clarity on the barns, i.e. the status of the three barns and the proposed Barn Park site. Mr. Wittman responded, explaining the Lease Ordinance, which is presently under review by the Lions' Club Attorney, is an agreement for the Lions Club to work on reconstructing the carriage house and the other barn. Mr. Wittman further stated a gentleman had come out from the State and indicated the potato barn should be dismantled with the possibly of salvaging some of it. Mr. Wittman indicated the potato barn, however, may be able to be saved and as soon as the Ordinance is passed, the work will be started on the barns. Mr. Ingegneri urged the potato barn not be torn down and asked that he be "kept in the loop".

Mayor's Notes

Mayor Panconi thanked everyone who volunteered for Cranbury Day and specifically commended Public Works for a speedy clean up. Mayor Panconi reminded everyone of the Arts Council candlelight concert on the 25th of September.

Resolution

On motion offered by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Stannard, the following resolution was adopted by vote:

Ayes: (Panconi Abstain: (None (Stannard Absent: (None

(Stave (Stout (Wittman

Nays: (None

Cranbury Township Resolution # R 09-06-115

TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX

RESOLUTION TO CLOSE MEETING TO THE PUBLIC

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Township Committee of the Township of Cranbury, Middlesex County, New Jersey as follows:

The general nature of the subject to be discussed in this session is as follows:

Cranbury Township Resolution # R 09-06-115 (Continued)

- --- Litigation; Matters Requiring Confidential Advice of Counsel; Danser vs. Township of Cranbury; Cranbury Brook Farms vs. Township of Cranbury;
- --- Confidential Chief Police Report regarding protection of the safety of the public and ongoing and potential investigations;

It is unknown at this time precisely when the matters discussed in this session will be disclosed to the public. Matters involving contract negotiations or the acquisition of land will be disclosed upon conclusion of the negotiations or upon approval of the acquisition. Matters involving personnel will be disclosed when the need for confidentiality no longer exists. Matters concerning litigation will be announced upon the conclusion of trial or settlement of that litigation of when the need for confidentiality no longer exists.

Date: September 11, 2006

On motion by Ms. Stave, seconded by Mr. Stannard and unanimously carried, the meeting returned to Open Session:

Ayes: (Panconi (Stannard Abstain: (None Absent: (None

(Stave (Stout (Wittman

Nays: (None

Ms. Stave made a recommendation the Township fund the cost for cookies for the Police Building Open House, the Township Committee members unanimously concurred with her recommendation.

On motion by Mr. Stave, seconded by Mr. Stannard and unanimously carried, the meeting adjourned at 10:25 p.m.

Kathleen R. Cunningham, Clerk