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| CRANBURY TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD 23A NORTH MAIN STREET CRANBURY, NEW JERSEY <br> WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2019 <br> Commencing at 7:01 P.M. <br> TRANSCRIPT PROCEEDINGS <br> BEFORE: <br> PETER MAVOIDES, Chairman <br> MICHAEL KAISER, Vice Chairman <br> GLENN JOHNSON, Mayor Designee <br> DANIEL P. MULIIIGAN, III, Board Member <br> JAMES GALLLAGHER, Board Member <br> 13 KAREN CALLAHAN, Board Member <br> 14 RICHARD PREISS, P.P., Board Planner <br> A. ANDREW FERANDA, P.'E., P.T.O.E, C.M.E., Board Engineer <br> JOSETTE C. KRATZ, Land Use Administrator/Secretary | CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Call this <br> meeting to order. <br> Please rise for the Pledge of <br> Allegiance. <br> (Whereupon, Pledge of Allegiance was <br> recited, Statement of Adequate Notice was read and <br> roll call was taken.) <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: So the sole <br> agenda item for tonight is to review the 2019 <br> master plan reexamination report that's been posted <br> to the town's website and prepared by Richard <br> Preiss and any public comment on that document <br> before the planning board considers that for <br> adoption. <br> So at this point in time I will turn <br> it over to Richard to kind of run through his <br> agenda, kind of the background and review from a <br> high level the document. <br> Richard? <br> MR. PREISS: Thank you very much. <br> So I'm Richard Preiss. I am the <br> township planner, and our firm worked alongside <br> 23 Andy Feranda from Shropshire who is the traffic <br> 24 consultant, I know you are all familiar with him, <br> 25 on doing the master plan reexamination that's |
| WITNESS <br> EXHIBITS <br> NUMBER <br> DESCRIPTION <br> PAGE <br> (None) | before you -- before the planning board. <br> If you recall, we had a community <br> meeting in this room in April, 2019 before it <br> really got started very far on the master plan so <br> that we could take input from the members of the <br> public, and we have taken that feedback very <br> seriously in the draft of the master plan <br> reexamination. At the end there is a summary of <br> all the comments that were made by all of the <br> groups that participated in that meeting. <br> (Whereupon, Mr. Andrew Feranda <br> enters the proceedings.) <br> MR. PREISS: We also felt it <br> necessary to correct a couple of misconceptions or <br> statements which were made at that meeting that <br> were not correct. We felt that the record should <br> be correct on that. And so also right after that <br> recitation of what was said at the community <br> meeting we also have a short section which talks <br> about those issues. <br> So not only is it a part of the <br> master plan reexamination report but as we work <br> through the document, we made sure that we went <br> back and we looked at those comments and we took <br> into consideration people's comments and their |

recommendations and their suggestions.

2 arrived, is the traffic consultant who's going to talk about the circulation.
5
The main just a litle bit of background.
The main purpose of the meeting this evening is
really to hear from you, the residents, all those
of you who have an interest in Cranbury.
9
10 once again, we did a very comprehensive master plan
back in 2010. And under the Municipal Land Use
Law, you are required to either do a new master
plan or reexamine the master plan every ten years.
So earlier this year the mayor, township committee,
planning board indicated it was time to take a look
at that again.
And what we did after putting our
heads together, we said, you know, a lot of -- some
things have changed in Cranbury, but basically the
master plan from 2010 is still a document which
sets out the policy and the direction and the guide
for growth, and that rather than do a whole new
master plan we would do a reexamination.
So let me just explain kind of the mechanics of that.
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Ultimately, the adoption of a master
plan is the responsibility of the planning board.
Hence, the planning board is having this meeting
this evening. And if all goes well and we're able
to finalize the document, we should have a hearing
on adoption maybe as soon as the first meeting in
November.
8
9
that they should be a participant.
We also feel that the zoning board
has a significant contribution because they are the
ones that get applications for variances. So they
have an idea of what -- you know, what about the
zoning ordinance or the master plan is problematic,
things that should be reaffirmed, things that should be changed. So they had a role.

So as a result, even though this master plan reexamination is going to be adopted by the planning board, we created what is known as a master plan reexamination subcommittee. We had two members of the township committee, two members of the planning board and two members of the zoning
board and myself, and we brought in Andy, for
example, and other of the township consultants as we needed them.

We also made ourselves available to those other boards or commissions that are in town that expressed an interest in talking to us. So we met with the environmental commission. We met with the historic preservation commission, and they had specific recommendations, many of which are included in this master plan reexamination.

So let me just talk about the format of a master plan reexamination.

So if any of you have read the last master plan, there is a section of sort of an introduction. There's some goals and objectives and then it has various elements. There is land use and circulation, utilities, conservation, so
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forth. There is lots of maps and, you know, other illustrations.

When you do a reexamination -- a
master plan you have a great deal of sort of
flexibility and you can choose to go in any different directions.

When you do a reexamination,
Municipal Land Use Law basically says there is four
steps that are mandatory that you must undertake,
and we've certainly done that. And if you look at
the handout, it says the format of the
reexamination report, the four steps.
Essentially, the four steps involve
kind of looking back, assessing where you are and then kind of looking forward.

So the actual format indicates that the first thing you do is you look back at the last master plan and then you just set forth what the objectives and problems are.

If you've just come in, the handout
is basically the format for tonight's presentation.
And then the second part of it is
you have to provide an indication of the extent to which those problems and objectives have been met.

The third one is if there have been
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| changes in the underlying assumptions or policies over the last ten years, those should be stated. <br> And then finally, the last chapter <br> is if there are any recommendations for changes <br> either in the master plan or the land use <br> regulations, that is where that is located. <br> So we have provided the master plan <br> reexamination report in that format. I think the <br> thing that everybody is interested in is the last <br> section, which is on the recommendations. So I <br> think the focus of our presentation, the thing we'd <br> like to hear most about from the residents, is on <br> the recommendations, themselves. <br> Obviously, if you have questions <br> about any of the other sections or comments about <br> that, we are happy to talk about that as well. <br> So one of the things that I would <br> like to point out in particular in Cranbury's case <br> and one of the reasons why a master plan <br> 20 reexamination was done is if you go to page -- <br> 21 those of you who had an opportunity to look at the <br> 22 report, Page 39 and 40 , we put together a chart <br> 23 which said, here are all the recommendations that <br> 24 were in the 2010 master plan. And in total, in <br> 25 terms of actual recommendations, not just policy | 1 So I think Cranbury has been true to its -- to <br> following the master plan, to the extent possible, and implementing what was in the 2010 master plan. <br> And one of the things that I'll say <br> is that the 2010 master plan reaffirmed a lot of the policies that go back to the early nineties and even in the eighties. I think the more I work in Cranbury, the more I realize that the vision that was set forth by the people who were guiding growth and development in the 1980s, those were very smart people and they put a great plan together. And essentially, what has happened since then is we've followed those overall recommendations to a great extent and we've made some changes along the way. We had to do that in 2010, and no doubt there will be some changes that will be necessary in the future. <br> Anyway, having said that, let me <br> just quickly go down the recommendations from the 2010 master plan. <br> 21 I'm going to leave land use to the <br> 22 end of my presentation and I'm going to turn it <br> 23 over to Andy to talk about the recommendations <br> 24 related to circulation. <br> 25 <br> But just very briefly, the goals and |
| items, there were twenty-five in total. And what we're able to catalogue is that nineteen of those were actually achieved. We basically went and implemented those recommendations. <br> Three of them were partially <br> achieved. In other words, we took steps to <br> implement them, although, for example, in the case of, for example, the scenic vistas protection <br> ordinance, that's been drafted but it hasn't been adopted. <br> So in addition to the nineteen that <br> were achieved, we made progress on three of them. <br> And three of them, you know, have not been <br> undertaken and I think in all -- in one case it's <br> probably not something that will take place in the <br> future, but the other two, which are dealing with <br> the scale of single family homes, and the other one is -- sorry, I'm trying to find it. There is <br> another one, you know -- those are things that were probably -- that have been affirmed and we've <br> suggested that those be implemented. <br> So I've been doing this for close to <br> forty years now. And it's really remarkable, very <br> often master plans have a lot of recommendations <br> and you will be lucky if you get half of them done. | 1 objectives, we looked at those. Aside from the <br> 2 ones that have been achieved, a lot of the goals <br> 3 and objectives we had were very specific of those <br> 4 being achieved. Essentially, the master plan <br> 5 reexamination reaffirms those past goals and objectives. <br> With respect to many of the elements <br> of the 2010 master plan, when it comes to <br> 9 conservation, for example, community facilities, <br> 10 economic development, utilities, agricultural <br> 11 preservation, recycling, most of those are an <br> 12 affirmation of the directions and policies, with <br> 13 some additional small recommendations. But <br> 14 essentially, there is no major initiatives there. <br> 15 With regard to historic <br> 16 preservation, some changes are necessary, and this <br> 17 master plan reexamination has set them forth. <br> 18 When we met with the HPAC, they <br> 19 indicated that they thought that it was -- it <br> 20 should be -- it was necessary and advisable and <br> 21 appropriate to maybe expand the number of <br> 22 properties in the downtown historic district. <br> 23 There was also an indication that they wanted to <br> 24 change the historic buffer, to actually reduce <br> 25 that. And there was also a notion of maybe |

designating individual properties outside of the downtown as historic so that they would also be subject to the HPAC requirement. So those 4 initiatives have been set forth in the master plan 5 reexamination.
6 7 that came up when we had the community meeting back
in April of this year, we had a contingent from
Cranbury Station Hamlet and there was a lot of
feedback that the residents there and those who
live there and in the surrounding area felt it was
a historic area. And so one of the things to help
protect that is one of the recommendations is to
have the HPAC look at that area and maybe designate
that as a historic district to help with its
preservation and to protect it. So that is also a
recommendation.
With regard to the green and
sustainability element, we had a lot of input from
the environmental commission. They have a very
ambitious program to look into a number of
initiatives. And essentially, this master plan
reexamination endorses that. So we are very happy
that the environmental commission in the future
will be looking into those. And so a lot of those
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initiatives we would encourage the environmental commission to look into that in greater detail to assess the feasibility and to advise both the planning board and the township committee about what they would recommend and to provide more specific recommendations if there is a need to make changes to the master plan and, particularly, to the existing regulations in the land development ordinance.
use and circulation, those are the major recommendations.

With regard to land use, I won't go
through each of them in great detail, but there are
a number of things that were specifically
recommended. I'll just briefly mention them.
The first is that to amend bulk
regulations for accessory structures for single
family homes. This is something that the township
committee took up this year and wasn't able to
follow through and get a consensus. But there is I
think a need to reexamine those and to make them
more reasonable and appropriate in residential
areas of the community.
One of the things that came out is

1 that when the Cranbury Service Center moved from the circle to Hightstown-Cranbury Station Road, they left their property in disarray. It is an eyesore right at a key gateway into the community. And I think one of the recommendations is to do an investigation whether that property should be declared an area in need of redevelopment to aid its redevelopment and to basically clean up that site. So that is something we are recommending.

There are also recommendations that came out of work that was undertaken by the zoning committee to essentially look at outdoor storage regulations and see if those can be loosened up. We know that a number of businesses would look favorably upon that. Obviously, it would have to be done in a manner that doesn't have negative impacts.

Also, possibly to allow landscaping businesses in town.

Another recommendation is to look at the area south of Brick Yard Road,
Hightstown-Cranbury Station Road, which is an area where there is a concentration of industrial uses that are slightly more manufacturing and have more impacts than what is typically found in the light
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industrial districts. That seems to be an area where they have tended to congregate. And the question is, you know, do we adopt a different zoning designation, one that would refer to some of it as a medium industrial use and allow them to flourish there and to have other like businesses to be located there.

Another recommendation is to look at
the off-street parking requirements in the village
commercial zone, kind of a recognition of the fact that many businesses can provide off-site parking that the zoning requires, and rather than make somebody who is coming into the downtown go through the process of having to seek a variance is basically to allow them to come in and establish themselves without having to provide any additional off-site parking.

We've had a lot of applications in the industrial zones to put security fencing in, and the planning board has allowed that in many situations and I think it's time to kind of put regulations in place which would standardize location, screening materials that would be used. So that is another recommendation.

Also, you know, as time goes by, and
it happened since the last master plan, there are often necessities to revise certain regulations in the land development ordinance. You don't necessarily know what they are, but we wanted to recognize in the master plan, to the extent that, you know -- and, for example, with regard to
changing the bulk regulations for accessory
structures, that is an example of, you know,
something comes to the attention of the planning
board or the township committee. And so we recognize that that's likely to happen in the future and so we recognize that it is appropriate to deal with those as well.

There is also probably a necessity
at some point to reorganize and to restructure the
land development ordinance. What we found is that,
for example, with the signage regulations, some are
in the district regulations, themselves, some are
in the site plan standards, some are in the
industrial or residential design standards. So
what we often find is developers that, you know,
come into Cranbury often miss the regulations that
are, you know, appropriate and applicable to them.
So there is probably a need to
reorganize and consolidate certain sections. It
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wouldn't change any of the underlying zoning
designations, but just make it a document that is
easier to navigate.
One of the things that we felt that
was really necessary to address, even though it's
not a recommendation for change, is retention of
the current zoning east of the New Jersey Turnpike.
For those of you who were at the
community meeting in April, there was a contingent
of residents, and Monroe residents as well, who had
indicated that they would support the rezoning of a
particular parcel from industrial to residential.
And this is something that the planning board
looked at very carefully, the subcommittee looked
at very carefully. It's not the first time that it was looked at. We looked at it in 2010. We looked at it when we did the Housing Element and Fair Share Plan back around 2006, and we looked at it again in 2016.

And essentially, the conclusion of the planning board and the subcommittee is to continue the policy of having industrial in that area. The main reason for doing so is that any time we've considered that residential zoning, there is a recognition that really the heart of

Cranbury is really the village and the surrounding area, and then once you go beyond the hard edge of the village boundaries, you get into the agricultural, farmland preservation area on the west side, on the east side the industrial area. And essentially, allowing a residential community out that far from the downtown, it's far from the school, it's far from community facilities and services and it's far from the other residents who live in Cranbury. So I think for that reason, in particular, the notion was to retain the industrial zoning.

We also felt that there are
residents, and we recognize that it's a major issue for them, who are exposed to industrial development and traffic out there. But simply adding additional residential development means those new residents would be, likewise, exposed to that.

So at the end of the day, after a serious amount of discussion, the retention of the zoning plan, not just there but throughout Cranbury, is the recommendation.

So those are the major recommendations of the elements of the 2010 master plan which I am familiar with.

I'll turn it over to Andy, and then
after Andy is done, we will have -- you'll have an opportunity. This is an informal meeting. So it's not necessary for you to be sworn in, as you would in the case of a site plan application or a formal hearing. But we'd like you to come up to the microphone. Please, for the purposes of -- so that we can follow the comments, if you could identify yourself and give your address so we know where you live, that would be helpful. And then if there is a question, you know, we'll see if we can answer it. And if there is a comment, we will take that under advisement.

And following this meeting, to the extent that it's necessary, we will make whatever changes or, to the extent necessary, to the master plan reexamination.

So without further ado, I'll turn it over to Andy to talk about the circulation, and then we'll open it up for questions and comments.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you.
MR. FERANDA: Good evening. I'm here to talk about the circulation element. By the circulation element, we're talking about the streets and intersections.
are only two crossings of that waterway. There is
Main Street and the commercial crossing is
Route 130. Otherwise, you have east/west traffic.
North of the brook and the lake
there are other sections of roadway. You have
South River Road which heads north/south as well.
You have Liberty Way which heads north from Half
Acre Road. This presents issues with the
commercial development, the warehouse development,
the office that's on the east side. We've
monitored that. Development has grown in those
areas.

In the new reexamination we looked at the roads and intersections. There are nine roads we considered, actually seven in the
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commercial area, there's two others, Plainsboro
Road and Maplewood/Scott, as carryovers from the previous master plan discussions. But really the focus is on the southeast quadrant of Cranbury because that's where development has occurred and that's where the missing north/south links are.

In the southeast section of Cranbury, we also include the Cranbury circle. This is an area that the DOT is actively studying and reviewing for improvements. What those improvements are, we are not sure yet. Replacement of the circle is a likelihood. What that would look like is still to be determined by the DOT. They are likely to put out alternatives, and they will have public presentations of what those alternatives would be.

Based on my experience with the circle over many years, any alternative that
improves the circle will be a good alternative.
There are safety concerns out there. We did an
evaluation of accidents and it is one of the hot
spots in the state for accidents, based on the
multiple approaches to the circle and being -- to
cross over you have to get in the circle.
Commercial vehicles are using the circle for crossing over.

So that is an area that's actively
being monitored and we expect improvements. DOT
works slowly. So we can't expect improvements in the near future. I cannot give any estimate as to timeframes when it comes to the DOT. But I do know they are actively reviewing the circumstances of the -- how to improve that intersection or the circle.

Liberty Way has been constructed in pieces. This is something that has been recommended for many years, but we have considerable constraints, including environmental, cost and other constraints that -- regulatory constraints, approvals, permitting, that would have to be overcome, and they seem substantial at this point.

We are still keeping open the door
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that this may at some point provide that extra north/south capacity. It would be a benefit to the community, to the community surrounding, because this would be a regional improvement and allow that additional north/south capacity to move, including keeping the commercial traffic to the east rather than having that traffic use 130 and adding to what is commuter traffic, as well as commercial traffic, that bogs the road down during commuter peak periods.

Some of the issues with Liberty Way obviously are the bridge, which is a cost, as well as environmental and permitting issue.

The southern section of Liberty Way currently is configured as a right in/right out.
It was done by a developer. They provided the roadway as right in/right out to the southern portion of the Wayfair warehouse. There are environmental constraints to the south of that. The thought is to use the signal at Old Trenton and 130 to provide additional east/west movement. And by that, I don't necessarily mean west heading west from 130 to the west. What I do mean is truck traffic that may travel on 130 that currently turns at Station Road, fills up the left turn lane. And

1 that has been an issue. The police chief has noted 2 that traffic backing out of the Station Road left

Road. Brick Yard Road is in disrepair and needs improvements. And the township has worked hard to get funding for the improvements and has obtained some of that funding. So that in the near future, we expect improvements to Brick Yard Road to add some more east/west capacity to the south and that, along with the improvements at the circle and some improvements at Hightstown Station Road and Brick Yard Road, will allow a distribution of traffic.
Not only will traffic use Station Road, some
traffic will then use Brick Yard Road, and there
will be distribution of traffic, allowing a release
and another means of traffic traveling in the
east/west direction.
So I am here to answer any
questions.
Really, the master plan is focussing on old issues, bringing those new issues up-to-date based on improvements that have been made and based on improvements we see for the future that will help traffic, both commercial and commuter, both local and regional, move through these areas as efficiently and as safely as possible, so.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Great. Thank
So I am here to answer any
6 questions.
7 Really, the master plan is focussing
18 much.

The board will now give you the opportunity to comment on this document. So at this point I open it up to any public commentary or

When you come up, please, again,
introduce yourself, give us your address, let us
know specifically what element of the master plan
reexamination that you'd like us to address.
MS. LARSON: Bonnie Larson, 22
Cranbury Neck Road. I'm here as a member of the shade tree commission.

We just want to make sure that during the planning process, the shade tree commission has the capability of working with the planning board before an applicant is approved, because it's part of our five-year community forestry plan. So that we want to have some input. MR. PREISS: Great. Thank you very

MS. LARSON: Thank you.
MR. PREISS: We certainly appreciate
the work that you do, and we will continue to work with you on that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Please.
MR. DILLON: Good evening,
gentlemen, ladies. My name is Robert Dillon. I live at 32 Hightstown-Cranbury Station Road. I've been a resident of Cranbury for forty-eight years, living in the same house.

You know that quite a bit has been going on and I had to rassle pretty much the township at different times in order to get something accomplished.

The one I'm -- before I read this, one of them was that the attempt to change the zone south of Brick Yard Road. That zone -- when Mid-State moved there Bob Jones was the engineer. That zone was just zoned industrial, period. And the vagueness of that is what created the problem.

In fact, the township dug the ditch along the edge of the road to drain that property because it was a swamp.

And the problems that was created was, first off, there is no buildings that they work in. All their work is done outside. That created an environmental hazard, a disaster. The noise from cranes running all night, and they were running until 2 o'clock in the morning up and down. They had one crane back then. Now they got six.

The concrete trucks going in and out
of there, you know, pouring concrete for the slabs that they make, the air pollution from the grindings and stuff that they clean off the slabs, that got to a point where it saturated the ground so bad. The DEP got after them because it didn't meet the standard for water runoff.

7
8 I went to court for. And the reason that it stayed
industrial light impact and the reason why there is
no outside storage and no outside manufacturing is
because of the amount of years it took to get that
taken out of there so that you couldn't have that
there, anywheres in the township.
Now, to take that and reverse that
and just minimize with a little bit of outside
6 storage basically puts your foot in the door with
somebody with an issue like that. That's exactly why we got a zoning board. Let them do their job. Don't put this on the master plan where people can do this and then the next thing you hear is they got a right to do it. Well, it created a problem.

You have a zoning board. You have a
lot of smart people that sit on that zoning board.
Don't take the governing and the power to accept
and deny things out of our hands. You don't need
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to do that. When you do stuff and put it in like this, such as the rezoning, such as outside storage, you took the power away from everybody sitting up there. You've done it.
Okay. Now, saying that, I'll read
this statement because it's faster.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you.
MR. DILLON: The 2010 master plan,
when it was put into effect, okay, it violated the
2010 permitted industrial warehouse zone by
allowing, this is what I call Wayfair and Amazon,
the global retail fulfillment distribution center,
that's what I call them. They are not a warehouse.
The only difference is the people don't show up
there. They got a bigger audience than anybody
else. It's on the internet.
So they basically bring products
into that place, that fulfillment center, break
them down to where sometimes they get them shipped directly from a manufacturer and they ship them out of there. That requires a lot more people to do that job than a normal warehouse. That requires, you know, a lot more trucks bringing that product in. That's where we got jammed up on this because it created that mess with the traffic. It's not a
warehouse. That's my opinion. Okay.
It's in an industrial light impact
zone. The traffic generated by this is not
industrial or related to the term industrial light
warehousing because it requires 1500 employee car
trips and 900 truck trips daily. That was taken
from what CBY presented to the planning board when
they were trying to get this through, that that's
probably the worst-case scenario, you know, the
most busiest time, but I wouldn't guarantee it.
There was no traffic circulation plan put in place
before approvals, before these guys were put in
there. There was hopes, but nothing. Fix the
traffic for the global retail distribution center.
Page 22, statement that the 130
corridor has not increased, that is a joke. The
left turn lane, as you were describing, because of
that warehouse there, they extended that left turn lane down Route 130, and it would be north but it's on the southbound side, so they can get more trucks
off the road, and it's still not far enough because
they are stopping in the fast lane down there. The traffic did increase.

I even pulled up to that traffic
25 light right there to make a left turn myself in my

1 car and there was a truck in front of me and the car came up in the fast lane, we just got the green arrow, came up the fast lane and made a left turn around that whole line of traffic and kept going. You know, that's what it goes to.

There is a thing here. I also question the 425,000 and 87,000 from American Water. I remember CBY giving 87,000 . If they gave more money since then for the betterment of Brick
Yard Road, that's news to me. I don't recall any of that money mentioned during the presentation for the CBY/Viridian development.

The best alternative that Cranbury
has is to connect the CBY/Viridian/Amazon site to
the Old Trenton Road light. If you just put that
into Liberty Way without hooking the 2.8 or 2.7
square foot distribution centers there, it's
useless. It's really pretty much useless, because
they are all going to have to use -- go out on
Cranbury Station Road. Whereas, if that hooked
into the back of the CBY/the Clarion Partners site, they wouldn't even use Cranbury Station Road. It would be in and out from there. That would take the traffic off of Cranbury Station Road, Brick
25 Yard Road. So unless you're going to be able to
hook that in there, you know, you're pretty much defeating the purpose of even putting it there at all.
4 question about is with Liberty Way pretty close to 130, when it gets down that way, and Half Acre
Road, the way the traffic is, will that -- if they
made a right turn at those lights and they had to
put a traffic light up for Liberty Way to get
across those two roads, that traffic I believe will
be backed all the way up to 130 . I think it is a
very good possibility that that won't work because
they won't put traffic lights there because it
actually will create a stop right on the highway.
That's the amount of trucks that we have going on over there.

All right. Let me continue to get
this done.
Okay. I think the people in
Cranbury downtown didn't realize the effect that
that car traffic was going to have on the village.
Because of the way that backs up on the highway,
the people will come off on North Main Street and
come through Cranbury and make a left turn on
Station Road rather than fight that left turn lane
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on 130.
2
3 i
4
5
6
7


Just like I go to work quarter to 6
in the morning and I chose to go down Station Road,
Cranbury Neck Road. Why? It's a nice relaxing
drive early in the morning. You're not on 571
where everybody -- it is a zoo.
But anyway, I have noticed coming
from my house coming out to Cranbury Station Road
and down, there's more and more and more traffic
coming out of those Amazon and Wayfair and places
like that going straight across the highway and
going and using Cranbury Neck Road.
So we're actually -- it's actually
hurt the historic side of Cranbury as much -- not
as much, but quite a bit also. It made a big
difference in the amount of traffic in town. And I
can't tell you how to fix that, you know.
All right. Moving on.
I think that would slow the truck
traffic down if you do get that hooked in and that
goes from Chamberlain \& Barclay. You know what I
mean? Why wouldn't they go down Cranbury Station Road --

A PUBLIC SPEAKER: I doubt that.
MR. DILLON: All right. Page 46,

Number 1, Brick Yard Road. As related to Brick
Yard Road, the fifteen feet -- the fifteen foot right-of-way donated by CBY/Viridian invades wetlands and does not run the entire length of Brick Yard Road. The question of taking private property arises. Since prior to 2010, Brick Yard
Road at its max was only supposed to receive about
twenty-five percent of all the traffic from these industrial sites. That was their statement --

MR. PREISS: Uh-hum.
MR. DILLON: -- from CBY and Viridian. It shows that this site was developed with no circulation plan in place. The condemning of private property in order to fix the truck traffic created is totally unacceptable.

If trucks cannot make the proper turn off the Brick Yard Road intersection prior to the approval of the site, why should private landowners give up their land to solve the problem that professional people of Cranbury created? Why are you going to take my land because it wasn't done right? You know, it's not my fault.

My property also goes down into the ditch on the opposite side of the street.
Actually, that road was a dirt path for the horse
and buggies going to Cranbury Station, to the railroad station. That's all that was to begin with, and it went through somebody's property.

There is no monument down on the other side of the ditch, and that goes all the way to the corner. So the telephone pole on the -- I guess it would be the easterly side of that corner, that's on my property and they put blacktop all around it. They kept moving blacktop closer to the pole until they finally started hitting the pole.

On the right side, my side if you're at the stop street, I just had an issue with the water company coming along that had a pin. There was a pin right in the blacktop where the corner marker is. The water company come along, put blacktop on top of the pin, threw more blacktop on my grass. Coincidentally, I was home that day and I had to call the cops to come out there and they said, well, they'll see what they can do. I told them, you know, I said, this isn't going to work, they have to come back up here and locate that pin where the marker is, I paid to have it surveyed, you know, and remove the blacktop.

So there is issues out there that
24
25 just don't go away.

19 kind of bothers me a little bit, has installed
property does go across the street, because that's the way it was.

I'm trying to go through this
without any -- my property is no different from the
Petty Road property. Owners did not want Petty
Road to be widened or paved because doing so would
encourage more traffic at their homes which is
unacceptable for their quality of life. Back quite
awhile ago they wanted to widen that road, Petty
Road. The residents said, leave it alone, we don't
want improvements, we don't want to widen it, we
don't want to encourage traffic. Okay. I feel the same way.

One of my main questions is: Why
didn't our paid professional people do their
homework instead of condemning private property?
Also, the third warehouse, and this
signs which say no left turns and direct all trucks
and traffic to now go past my house to Brick Yard
Road. When you come out the last drive closest to
my house, they used to be able to make left or
right turns. Now they put signs up no left turns.
So when they come out, they got to make a right
turn and go towards Brick Yard Road. So they are
tainting the traffic patterns of what's on that
site, and that wasn't on the original site plan.
You know, Brick Yard Road was never supposed to be a major artery onto that site. It wasn't supposed to be. It was just supposed to be part of what comes off of 130 that way.

You know, you were talking about 130
and how many roads there are. I'm sure just about
everybody here has been to five points in
Mercerville. There is five roads that come
together at one traffic light, and it's like
dueling traffic lights to see who can move up there.

I cant see putting North Main
Street, Hightstown and Brick Yard Road together
when there's truck traffic involved because the
trucks get out and move so slow to get going. I
mean that's going to be a nightmare just to signal
that alone, nevertheless how long it's going to
take for that light to change. I just don't
understand how that's going to work, but anyway.
Liberty Way was mentioned numerous
times as an alternative for traffic is nothing more
than an internal route through warehouses ending up

All right. But like I said, our
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1
that road does not have bicycle paths, does not
have any shoulder on the side and is not capable of
expanding that way. There is a fifteen foot
right-of-way in front of that -- part of that way
up where that warehouse is.
6 is very sick, John, he came down to visit me and he rode his, what do you call it, wheelchair, the bike
thing, down to my place. And I had to follow him
home with the four-ways on because I said, you're
going to get run over on that road with that thing,
you know. There is no place for anybody to walk or
do anything. There used to be a lot of joggers,
bike riders and stuff like that, they are not there
anymore. They can't.

16
7 the --
18
19 it.
20
21 this.

Okay. I'm not going to go into
A PUBLIC SPEAKER: You should. Read
MR. DILLON: We already talked about
A PUBLIC SPEAKER: No.
MR. DILLON: They had the video and
Now, on Page 43, Number 2, amend
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land development and maintain the road south of
Brick Yard Road industrial light impact. You may
not think that it's important, but it is so
important, that once you put it in there and once
you allow somebody to go there and do that, you can't take it back.

8 storage, let them go to the zoning board. Let the
zoning board look at it and say, is this going to
be environmentally safe, is it out of public sight, you know.

Landscape maintenance is -- that lot
is well overdeveloped because of the amount of trucks and people. They do a lot of trips back and
forth in front of my house. They fill their dump
trucks with salt so bad that when they come up to
the corner and make the left, it dumps right at
that intersection. It killed the trees on my lawn when the water runs off.

You know, I mean these are problems that landscaping companies create. And I'm just saying that landscape companies, they are high volume with trucks and people. And that's not a business that I would recommend, you know, that you really want to cater to going in and out of this

1 place anyway. Again, let the zoning board do the job.

The two industrial, Plant Food and
Mid-State Filigree, are prior non-conforming.
Mid-State is an outdoor manufacturing company
making slabs for bridges and the like. The company
does all its work outside with six cranes, pouring
beds, concrete trucks and outdoor lighting.
Plant Food is a fertilizer facility.
However, they have just gone -- I believe they went to the zoning board to install another huge building at their place of business. I have no problems with Plant Food, none whatsoever. Nicest guy, takes care of his site. He tries to keep everything out of view, you know, and he got what he wanted because he is that way, and even though he may be, but he changed his menu from field -food for farmers' fields to golf courses because, you know, the farming is gone. But still in all, he runs a business professionally and he keeps it clean. I have no problem with him. And he can get anything he wants just about in this township because of the way he runs that business and the amount of problems he has.

Rahway Steel Drum, I have no problem
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with them. He's got parking for a hundred trailers on his site. Why? Because his business allows him to take a trailer, fill it with whatever products, the bucket, whatever, like DuPont may want, take it to their site, unhook it, drop it off there and pick up an empty trailer and bring it back. So that's why he needed the trailer parking. But you can't even see him. They are so far back on the site. You can't even see those tractor trailers. And they keep the front of it -- since he went through his site plan, keeps the front of the place up.

So why change that to medium density when everybody is happy and everybody is getting what they want and they are taking care of the business? And you got the opportunity in your hand to govern what goes on out there on an individual basis. Leave it. If it's not broke, don't fix it. That's my comment about that anyway.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Mr. Dillon?
MR. DILLON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: We have a lot of people that would like to speak tonight.

MR. DILLON: I just got one more
24
25 paragraph.
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| 1 |  | CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | MR. DILLON: The zoning had a chance |
|  | 3 to ove | ee, this goes to Plant Food. Allow the |
|  | 4 board | do their job, that's what I said all |
|  | 5 along |  |
|  |  | I already told you it takes five |
|  | 7 years | court, to be in court, to get that where |
|  | 3 it's a | ht now. |
|  |  | And one question I have: Is the |
|  | rest of | e zones going to stay industrial light |
|  | 1 impact? |  |
| 12 |  | MR. PREISS: Yes. |
| 13 |  | MR. DILLON: They are not changing |
|  | 4 to -- |  |
| 15 |  | MR. PREISS: No change. |
| 16 |  | MR. DILLON: Nothing else, okay. |
| 17 |  | That was one of my concerns because |
|  | in your | hing it just says industrial. You know |
|  | what | ean? It doesn't say industrial light. |
| 20 |  | MR. PREISS: No. |
| 21 |  | MR. DILLON: And there is one other |
|  | issue | e. |
| 23 |  | I was against changing, I don't know |
|  | if you | already, the lot size from five acres to |
|  | 5 three | warehouses. And the reason is that mea |
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that every little parcel of wooded area like where
I'm at that has not wetlands and that's three acres
could be turned into a warehouse rather than the vista of the woods.

And the other thing that kind of bothers me is you got to have six acres to build a house; five acres for, we call it a farm, and one acre to build a house on. And now we're going to put a warehouse on three acres. It just don't fit.

Okay. I think I said enough for the
night, and I thank you for your time.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you for your comments. Appreciate it.

MR. DILLON: If you have any
questions, let me know.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you.
MR. ALLAN: Richard Allan, 10
Wynnewood Drive.
I have a question. It was mentioned that HPC made certain recommendations to the planning board about reducing the buffer zone.

I happen to live in one of the -- I
think it's four or five houses that back up to the cemetery. And for some cockeyed reason they decided that because we were backing up to the

2 The other twenty-four homes or twenty-three homes 3 in Wynnewood are not included. So I've been arguing for like sixteen years to get us off that.

And I went to a meeting at HPC and the chairman, Steve Banks, said that we are recommending that those homes on Wynnewood be eliminated from the buffer zone.

And I want to know is the planning board -- have they accepted that recommendation and made the necessary change?

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Richard?
MR. PREISS: Yeah. So the answer is we haven't gotten into the specifics of it because we really have to sit down with HPAC and go through it on kind of a lot-by-lot basis.

MR. ALLAN: It's not HPAC anymore
because the A was eliminated.
MR. PREISS: Yeah, HPC. It's the old name.

Yes, we met with HPC and we've accepted their recommendation generally, but there is nothing specific on the table.

So if this master plan goes forward, the next step would be to get into the specifics of
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amending the buffer. And I think the general sense is that the buffer is too inclusive because it includes properties like yours where the development doesn't really have an impact on the historic district.

So the idea is just to focus on those properties that can be seen essentially from the historic district. So if there is a change to those properties, it would affect the streetscape of the historic district.

MR. ALLAN: The only reason why those houses bordering the cemetery were included was because I guess the cemetery and the First Presbyterian Church was historical, but we are about 700 feet from Main Street, and we are isolated. I mean until they put sidewalks in, technically the kids were bused.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: So this examination commits to reexamination of the buffer zone with HPC, and I think that's part of what we're looking at.

MR. PREISS: Correct.
MR. ALLAN: Because when I bought the house fifty years ago, we had no encumbrance. And I've been going to the township meetings for
over forty years and I was there when they even created HPAC. And I remember one of the concerns -- a lot of the concerns were, well, what happens if you tell us something and we don't agree with you. And it was made very clear at the time, well, it is advisory, it's not mandatory.
$7 \quad$ And then a couple years ago the word advisory was eliminated, and suddenly my house, now
if I want to do something, then I require township
approval, I need HPAC approval, when I think it's
not under the conditions which I bought the house
and, therefore, something being forced upon me
which I disagree with and being irrelevant to being
historical. The houses in Wynnewood are only
fifty-three years old.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Understand. MR. PREISS: We are agreeing with
you.
MR. ALLAN: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you. Please come forward. MS. MONDOKER: Hello. I'm Janice
Mondoker, 92 Halsey Reed Road in Cranbury.
I want to thank the town for taking
a look at the master plan at this time.
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homes here and the police department is right
there. The words isolated and distant seem
partially subjective for an area one mile from the village.

If the board is concerned about spot zoning, changing the zone for the Halsey Reed Road parcel is quite the opposite of spot zoning.
Changing the zoning is to bring the entire area
into conformity with the low-density residential
and agricultural uses existing in the area and the
adjoining residential and agricultural uses in
Monroe Township. Doing so would recognize and
respect the rural land use patterns in both
municipalities. In essence, the zone change would
be compatible with the land uses of Cranbury and
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you make a zoning change that is not consistent
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with the master plan, then it creates a longer procedure and it makes it much more difficult to adopt it.

So I think we were -- the planning
board and the subcommittee was very careful in this
situation not to get very specific about a lot of
these recommendations because, for example, if we
put in the master plan, here is a definition of
medium industrial, and then at the time that the
zoning was adopted there was a decision to make a
change to that, it's possible that that change would be inconsistent with that in the master plan.

So we focussed on the policy and the details will be -- if that recommendation is followed through, will be handled when the zoning gets changed. And the way the zoning gets changed is there would be a draft, it would be shown to the planning board, it would be discussed in public, residents would have an opportunity to review it and then there would be a public hearing on it. So it's not something that would be undertaken lightly.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you. MS. MONDOKER: Thank you.<br>MR. PREISS: Thank you very much.

1 MR. MARLOWE: Don't be alarmed, I'm not going to read this.
3 I'm Frank Marlowe, M-A-R-L-O-W-E. I
live at 11 North Main Street, Cranbury.
5 about the treatment of the sidewalks in the
reexamination report from the master plan. I think
some of you know that I've been concerned about the
condition of sidewalks in the village and also in
the township. I was interested in how it was dealt
with here in the master plan and its proposed
reexamination report.
It turns out the pedestrian access
is given quite a bit of emphasis in the master plan
and also in this report. In at least a half a
dozen places the importance to the community of
pedestrian access is stressed, or at least
mentioned.
The walking village, the hard edge
of the village where people can walk from their
housing into town, et cetera, are all given praise
and encouragement in this document, as well as in
the master plan.
In contrast to that, the sidewalks
that we have throughout the village are in a
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continual state of disrepair due to the destruction
by tree roots. I would say this type of thing is
easy to prevent, although we haven't taken the
steps to prevent it.
I was concerned that in this
reexamination report recommendations are made for
many things but there's no recommendation
whatsoever about actually doing anything to change
the steady state condition that we have of our
sidewalks, that they are falling -- they're not
falling apart. They are being pushed apart by the
tree roots. I would think this would deserve more
importance than it's given.
The sidewalks are the largest public infrastructure in the town, bigger than the parks,
bigger than the school play yards, bigger than
anything else in town as far as area goes, and they
must be among the most highly used set of
infrastructure we have. People use these
sidewalks, all ages and for purposes starting from
sunup to well past sundown every single day,
walkers, runners, baby carriages, school children,
et cetera. So it would seem to me to be important.
And I'd just like to say I would
24
25 like to see something in the master plan. I don't

1 want to go over details about how to fix the sidewalks and what to do in front of this committee. But I think the master plan is a starting point for how things get put into the land use law. Maybe not everything in the master plan gets enacted or acted upon, but I'd like to see something in this recommendation that points to or recognizes the value of changing the way we treat our sidewalks. We leave it up to chance now.

So it's the responsibility of the homeowner next to the sidewalk, I think as all of you know. There's quite a bit of variability in the attention and care that homeowners give their sidewalk and there are always some that are in disrepair. I know the town can force a homeowner to fix it. But if it happens at all, it's only when the situation is so dire that there's practically no choice.

Well, okay. Is there any follow-up that will come from this or does it just end right here?

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: No. Listen --
MR. MARLOWE: What happens next?
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: We're going to take this commentary and we're going to evaluate
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the document that the subcommittee is recommending to the planning board to be adopted. So this is helpful. We appreciate it.

MR. MARLOWE: Okay. Well, good luck. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: I have a question for Richard.

How could this work, the suggestion that --

MR. PREISS: Yeah. I see no reason why it can't be added to the master plan. It's not a recommendation that has a land use.

CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Richard, timeout.

How about the subcommittee take up these issues individually and make a recommendation to the planning board.

MR. PREISS: Sure.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Just in fairness, because we're not addressing every issue here publicly.

MR. PREISS: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Are you all right with that, Mike?

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: Yeah. Mr.

2 3 al
into -- you have a planning board, the Cranbury
Township Committee. You don't have like the
environmental commission made comments and that the
historic preservation. I don't know if that needs
5 to be put in, but I've seen in other reexams how
other people have been -- their comments have been.
MR. PREISS: Yeah. So I don't know
if you were here earlier in the meeting. I
specifically mentioned that we had meetings and
that there was input, and I would certainly
acknowledge those contributions in the introductory
section. So that can be done.
MS. ROGERS: Okay. And Page 27, I
have an electronic version, so I need to get there.
25 I apologize. It states -- oh, boy, let me get to

1 it. I apologize.
2

$$
\rightarrow-1+2
$$

Page 27, it states, generally
residents at the community meeting in April, 2019
did not express serious concerns regarding
protection of the natural environment in Cranbury
based upon current practice and developments.
I think there was quite a few
comments about the warehouses and trucks and noise
pollution, you know. So I think that comment is a
little bit -- a little rosy. You know, I'm not
sure whether you changed the wording or how that
happened. I just want to just point that out that,
yes, there was quite a bit of comment on how warehouses have impacted.

MR. PREISS: Yeah. I think what that comment intended to convey is that there wasn't a comment that the current protections in place were not being implemented by the planning board in individual site plan applications.

We understand that there are
impacts, as there would be in any development, with
regard to noise or truck traffic. But that is not
a specific environmental impact relating to a
variance or a waiver that was granted to a
developer, you know, for not meeting stormwater
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regulations or noise regulations. Those are
assiduously enforced by the planning board and I
think people at that meeting recognized that.
We are not to say that everything is
perfect and every development doesn't have impacts.
So I think that's what that comment was intended to convey.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you for your interpretation. Okay. I understand that now.

On Page 23, it was disappointing to see that the wording -- the way it was worded is that, you know, bike path is not feasible on Plainsboro -- I think it's Plainsboro Road.

I think I have attended subcommittee meetings on traffic and we're going to be working with applying for a technical assistance grant to try and identify a bike audit for -- bike and walk audit, and we're going to be looking at areas that potentially could be a bike or walk area, and that would be prior to a bike and walk plan.

So, you know, by, you know, already having it like negative in the master plan, you know, it would make it harder if per chance this audit did turn up that maybe this could happen.

MR. PREISS: Yeah. I am not
disputing that. I think -- and we encourage the environmental commission to do that and to come forward with any program or recommendations they could make, but there have been questions over the years. Residents and others at certain meetings have talked about that and I think the current view is that, just generally, with most of the rural roads is that they are very long and very narrow and widening them would just encourage speedier -faster traffic and that that wouldn't make it necessarily safe for pedestrians or people who bike.

And so unless there is a consensus about exactly how those would be improved, I don't think we can recommend that bike lanes be added to those roads at this time.

MS. ROGERS: If an audit from
Voorhees Transportation Center said, oh, this is a possibility --

MR. PREISS: Yeah. Look --
MS. ROGERS: Okay. So I'm just
worrying because, you know, it is a negative
connotation than trying to come up with something.
MR. PREISS: Right.
MS. ROGERS: It just seems --

Page 62 just let me just sort of step back.

So for the time being, it's
probably, you know, rather than -- I think it's just a dose of -- you know, it's just a realistic
statement. It doesn't mean that we've abandoned that hope and perhaps there is a subsequent farmer or the farmer will change his mind.

So we think it is something that would be great but we just want it to reflect the fact that, you know, we have run up against this issue. So we are not discouraging Cranbury from seeking it and if the farmer changes his mind, you know, I think no one would be happier than the planning board and the township committee.

MS. ROGERS: I guess just having the vision, you know, as you said, a little more encouraging, you know, would make it probably more likely people might try to pursue it. That's kind of what I'm just --

MR. PREISS: I mean I hope that that would continue to happen, so yes.

MS. ROGERS: And I think it was -- I
23 think -- so as Frank said, if we have other
24 comments, can they be written? Like how does that
25 work? Like if we had a particular -- you know, I
don't want to go into a lot of details I have. But is there a way, is there a mechanism to still comment, because this is still being discussed; correct?

5
6 say -- you know, the hope is actually at the next
planning board meeting, which is November 7th, we'd
like to have the hearing on adoption. So I would
say my recommendation would be to allow residents
to comment let's say through the end of the day on
Monday through written comments. Send your
comments to Josette and then that's something that
the subcommittee and the planning board can consider.

MS. ROGERS: Okay.
MR. PREISS: If it's something that
hasn't been brought up at this meeting.
MS. ROGERS: So written comments to
Josette by -- what's that date?
MR. PREISS: Monday is the 21st, end of the day Monday.

MS. KRATZ: Preferably via email so
it's --
MR. PREISS: Yes.
MS. ROGERS: And thank you.
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the environmental commission for their input, and
the same goes to the historic preservation
commission.
5
6

I too have gone over the 2010 master
plan, and I was encouraged by some of the more
progressive ideas of changing the parking layout in
town and encouraging bike lanes and more pedestrian
access and more pedestrian friendly policies.
On the township committee I've
worked on the traffic subcommittee and we've worked
on pedestrian safety. We put in, you know, link
crosswalks and I encourage the master plan to be
more -- the language to be a little more
encouraging about bikes and pedestrian. I
understand the difficulties and the idea of getting
Plainsboro Road -- getting a bike lane on
Plainsboro Road, but I think that, you know,
because one farmer puts up a roadblock, I still
think we should maintain the language of
encouraging bike lanes and bike safety.
I think that, you know, we all want
property values in town to go up. And the thing
that I hear most from residents who are moving to
town is they want walkability, they want
accessibility. They like the idea of being able to
possibly bike into Princeton. Once you get into
Princeton or even into Plainsboro, as far as bike
lanes, you have access to a very large network of bike lanes. You know, the Lawrence-Hopewell bike system, you know, it's very extensive. And I feel like we're sort of an island, that we are kind of orphaned just by the fact that we can't access the Plainsboro bike lane.

So I would encourage you guys to reconsider and to put in more sort of hopeful language about installing bike lanes. Obviously Plainsboro Road would be a heavy lift, maybe there is other alternatives that we just haven't considered yet.

Another issue I was thinking about was the issue with Cranbury Station and with Halsey Reed. I met with Keep Middlesex Moving a few weeks ago and I was discussing some of these issues with them and they mentioned to me an idea years ago about the possibility of an expanded parking area behind the rest stop on the Turnpike and that it could possibly have access to the warehouse district behind it.

I don't know the exact layout of that and it was something that I told them that I would bring up to you guys, you know, and that if it's something that could be looked into, a place for trucks where they can park so they wouldn't necessarily have to park in Cranbury Station. I think that is something that is worth looking into.

And I think that's all I had. I
just want to thank you again for your work and I
hope a lot of these things will come to fruition. Thank you.

MR. PREISS: Thank you very much. BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: I need to make a couple comments.

So, you know, when we look at
25 Plainsboro Road, right, you know, we have to look
back at Cranbury is an island. It is unique.
Right? And you look at Plainsboro, what's
different when you get to Plainsboro Road? Massive
residential development. We don't have massive
residential development on Plainsboro Road.
6 And when you look at it, it's a
unique charm factor of Cranbury, where you have the
crops coming right up to the road and you don't
have all this cutback landscaping and concrete and
cement and everything. You have this farm charm.
You have this, you know, country road feel, and
that's one of the tenets that goes back to the
master plan for probably thirty years when Betty
Wagner was very involved, where we want these
greenways and the farms and everything as you come into town to make it intimate and make it different.

So it's something I think really the committee going forward and the board has to think about. Do we want to look like every other town or do we want to look like Cranbury? There may be better areas to worry about the bike routes than there.

MR. SCOTT: Yeah, I agree. I agree. I mean Old Trenton Road is wide
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enough, you could put a more protected bike lane there. great spot. comment I would make is, you know, everybody wants this greenway down the Paterson property and along the brook. Right?

There is a right to farm, and realize, farmers gave up the rights to their land, their development rights, a lot of money they gave up, in order to keep it preserved farm so we have this great preserved character on this side of

Route 130.
If everyone is so hot, why don't we talk to the homeowners? See if they'll give us a right-of-way. Why is it always the farmer? There
is another side of that creek as well -- or the brook as well, we can ask them.

So, you know, the reality is it's
dangerous to be on that property with those large
tractors and all the equipment that they have out
there. It is not safe. I've been back there. And
the last thing they want to do is come whipping
around on a tractor and like run over somebody.
You know, that's really what it comes down to.
I think they are okay, they would love to let people do it, but there is a safety factor. That is the reality here.

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: I'd actually
like to point out, one of the biggest reasons why
Paterson doesn't want that is they have to protect their crops from deer.

BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Absolutely, that too.

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: And they have to do that by hunting.

BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Yeah.
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BOARD MEMBER KAISER: If there is a public right-of-way barrier, we are impeding their ability to farm the property.

BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Spot on there too.

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: So that's the main reason why he doesn't want people back there.

BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: And the equipment.

BOARD MEMBER KAISER: Yeah. I mean there is a number of reasons. And to push him to give up his ability to farm, I think that
sacrifices the quality of that rural area that we want to preserve.

BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Yeah.
MR. SCOTT: These are all really
valid points.
My point, again, to
Lawrence-Hopewell, there is a lot of bike paths
that are abutting farmland and, you know, they
figured it out somehow.
I think at the end of the day, all
of these things, even though you might, you know,
upset a few farmers, all of these things will
increase the attractiveness of the town which will
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increase property values and make all of us happier. So I think these are all things that you should think about.
BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: I just think
that there's other areas. That's all I'm saying.
So I agree with you.
MR. SCOTT: Yeah.
BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Again, why
not, if everybody's so hot on it, have a
conversation, maybe the property owners will give
you an easement along their property, maybe not but you can try it. It is a thought.

MR. SCOTT: Whatever you can think
of.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you.
MR. SCOTT: Thank you, guys.
CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Please.
MR. MOROLDA: Nick Morolda, 93
Halsey Reed Road, Monroe Township.
I want to thank the board and the
planner for at least listening to us back a few
months ago about our concerns about Halsey Reed Road.

One of the things that really
frightened me is one of the things Bob said, and
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that is I didn't know that a warehouse can now be developed on three acres of ground. And that's pretty frightening considering I live on Halsey Reed Road. I'm hoping that if such an application comes before the board that you will look at it holistically.

7 ded wetlands and residential areas. And I think like
Janice was saying, I guess when the discussion was going on about medium impacts south of Brick Yard Road and that that could be rezoned because of the activities currently going on there. You know, I was listening to it and it seemed like there wasn't much I'd say consideration given to the negatives of putting medium impact there. One of them being that, exactly what Bob was talking about, you open that up without having a review by the board, the zoning board, planning board. It's scary.

But as far as Halsey Reed Road goes, the current activity there is road density, residential and preservation. There is a mitigated wetland bank on one end and wetlands on the other end. You know, I've heard rumors that they can develop 10,000 units on that property. There is no sewer and water on that property.
preservation commission, and I thank the board for
meeting with me and David Szabo earlier this year I
believe to discuss the recommended changes, and it
seems that a lot of them have been brought through
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to this and we thank you for that.
One, just a small point on Page 28.
We had a point to -- I believe it says that an updated inventory of historic sites was completed by HPAC in March of 2016. I think we would rather say that September of 2017 and September of 2018.
Those are the two documents that are now actually
printed and will be available in the library, in
the reference section of the library, for looking
through, for any resident to reference.
The other point would be just a quick question for the committee or for the board about follow-up for some of the specifics on the consideration of designation of individual properties outside the districts and in terms of farmland or farmhouses.

You know, we know that there is a process that has to go on. We're working with Mr.
Preiss here about the -- you know, you can't just do that to someone's house, as they own it. We don't anticipate being a burden on anyone. You know, no one wants to be a burden on any homeowner.

It's something that we feel would be
24 something that the homeowners would enter into
25 willingly in order to help preserve resale value of
their homes and increase resale values of their homes.

## 6

7
thing is we provided somewhere close to thirty plus
properties that become -- we are recommending.
It's kind of an ongoing list that was adapted from
a list in the eighties and then a list in the
nineties. It's been an adapting list and we've
even included portions of those houses, some of
them are on the list but have been labeled as, you
know, demolished just to show that it's happening
where, you know, buildings are coming down and they
are mainly all from the early, mid, late 1800s.
So just a quick question on the
follow-ups and whether or not there will be some
sort of appendix listing houses or giving, you
know, ability for that, or how that would work?
MR. PREISS: We are not going to add anything here. Again, I think you heard my other comment is the danger of putting the list is, you know, let's say the list gets updated and it's not in the master plan. Then you say, well, the list is not on the master plan so it can't be.

MR. GOLISANO: I see.
MR. PREISS: So we'd rather keep the
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policy sort of fairly general and open so that when
those -- when the actual list is -- the actual
designation takes place there's no issue with
regard to inconsistency.
And I won't get into it now, but
there are different options in terms of designating
individual properties depending on the community
where it's only done, for example, if the homeowner
agrees to it. Once the homeowner agrees to it,
then it's forever.
Historic district, you don't have a
choice, you are in. But individual properties,
there is a methodology where you only designate
those properties where the homeowner grants their consent.

MR. GOLISANO: Okay.
MR. PREISS: So we could certainly
look into that.
MR. GOLISANO: Okay. And just
another follow-up on the discussion about Cranbury
Station as far as a historic significance of it.
There is going to be a talk at the
library soon from John Kilbride actually who is
going to give an extensive talk on the history of
25 Cranbury Station and the passenger railway.

## And I would like to thank -- I was

 going to mention about the presentation at the library next week also.But I really want to thank all of you for reexamining the master plan, and especially consideration of the historic value of the Cranbury Station.

I also would like to say thanks to the police department because, as many of you know,
presently the Cranbury Station is being used as a
truck stop and I appreciate Matt Scott's looking
into that also because, in fact, tonight when we
left there was another truck settling in for the evening. They do use it as a truck stop and wait until the morning comes to get their deliveries in.

But I have to say the police
department has been wonderful recently. We've
spoke with them several times and every time we
call, they do come out and ask the person to move on because it's private property.

So, again, I'd like to thank you for tonight and hopefully that you will consider the historical value of that property.

And everyone go to the presentation
25 at the library.

| Page 81 | Page 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you. <br> MS. MOROLDA: Thank you. <br> MR. DILLON: I just have two short <br> comments, no papers. <br> MR. PREISS: Can we let everybody <br> else talk before you get a second -- <br> MR. DILLON: I didn't know there was <br> somebody else. <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Two more, Bob. <br> MS. KRATZ: Three more. <br> MR. DILLON: I'm sorry. I didn't <br> see. They are my friends from -- <br> MS. SPANN: Evelyn Spann, Liedtke <br> Drive. Hi, Richard. A couple of things. <br> Mr. Chairman, thank you for <br> listening. Thank you for giving us this <br> opportunity. <br> I heard you say at the beginning <br> about the master plan and this master plan coming <br> in from 2010. We heard in April -- we all got <br> together, I got to sit with this group, and we <br> heard all of the feedback. And the overall <br> feedback, as Richard said, was very good and very <br> positive and a lot got done. <br> 25 So the hours that you spend, the | that's no small number you are talking about. <br> MS. SPANN: Right. <br> MR. FERANDA: But there are -- <br> MS. SPANN: And our engineers are <br> not involved, involved, this is more of a state <br> figuring out? <br> MR. PREISS: It's DEP with the <br> permitting and it's DOT in terms of the financing. <br> MS. SPANN: Okay. Okay. So that <br> was -- I just really wanted to understand and <br> appreciate the fact that the plan was a good plan. <br> And that roadblock is a lot of what's causing <br> issues for us. And a lot of the things that I'm <br> reading in here -- <br> MR. PREISS: Right. <br> MS. SPANN: -- are trying to <br> compensate for that roadblock. Okay, one. <br> The second thing is, I really do <br> want to make sure that we appreciate and respect <br> our farmers. I did hear a comment, well, if we <br> make a few farmers upset. I don't appreciate that. <br> If we don't have preserved farmland, <br> we change the dynamics of our town. And it's <br> something that I know that you respect and that <br> you, you know, put forward. |
| 1 work that you do and the training that you have on <br> behalf of our community is really above and beyond, and I can't thank you enough for that. <br> My question is more to clarify, just <br> because I want to make sure that I understand. And Andrew, this will be a question for you. <br> When we talk about Liberty Way and <br> we talk about the bridge. If we had a magic $\$ 20$ <br> million wand, in your opinion, how much would that <br> alleviate a lot of the issues and concerns that we <br> are talking about? Again, with a $\$ 20$ million magic wand. <br> MR. FERANDA: It probably would be <br> significant, because that traffic would no longer <br> travel north/south on 130, would not use those <br> intersections. Commercial traffic I'm talking <br> about. You'd still have your commuter traffic. <br> But if it were fully connected down to Old Trenton, <br> then the commuters would also -- the regional <br> traffic would also come off of 130. It adds <br> capacity in the north/south direction. <br> It's not just money. It's <br> environmental considerations and it's permitting. <br> 24 There are other issues that can be significant <br> 25 issues. So having the money is one thing, and | So I just want to make sure that for <br> the record we understand and appreciate and respect our farmers. If they can't have a walkway on their fields for whatever reason, their farming is their livelihood and we need to respect that. <br> MR. PREISS: Thank you. <br> Let me just say one thing about the <br> Liberty Way bridge and the four-way intersection at Old Trenton Road. <br> That was something which I think was <br> great foresight. Mel Lehr was a resident of the <br> community and I think he was on the planning board. <br> He was a transportation engineer. And I think in <br> the early -- late eighties, early nineties I think <br> it was basically his idea to have this bypass road <br> so that traffic that was coming northwards on <br> Route 130 and then all of the traffic that was <br> going to be generated within the east side of <br> Route 130 would use the bypass road to get to Exit <br> 8A. So that was the vision. <br> And so that vision remained. In <br> 2010 we were under discussion with the DOT. That <br> was still a fairly good possibility that would <br> happen. As years have gone on, we've seen that it's -- you know, it has these difficulties. |
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MR. PREISS: Come through Brick Yard and then proceed to Exit 8 rather than trying to force its way, you know, back onto 130 to go to Exit 8A. So I think that's -- because if you force everything north, that's where you have all of the problems.

So, you know, it's just we've run up against the hard reality of not being able to -the likelihood that we may not be able to have the bypass road. And so with all the traffic that we have, you know, the alternative that we've kind of looking into now is kind of sending it southward, so.

MS. SPANN: And what would you
think, and this might be a question for you,
Andrew, but what would you think on the circle, on
the traffic circle, would that be -- is the plan
there for stoplights to better regulate that?
Would that be a stoplight or would that be the elimination of the circle?

MR. PREISS: I've seen one of the
concept plans and it would be like either a four or
five-way intersection that would be traffic controlled.

MS. SPANN: Okay.

So this is a massive regional project that we need our elected officials at the state level to support us on. That's just the reality of this. So Linda Greenstein, Dan Benson, Wayne DeAngelo need to step up now and they need to start helping us.

Additionally, just a little more
history for you, we aggressively looked during the
Wayfair project as a township committee when Susan
Goetz was there, Dave Cook, Jay Taylor, Gwen and
myself, at trying to at least get the portion done
for Old Trenton Road. We were looking at so many millions to try and get that done. It was just

| Page 89 | Page 91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| unfeasible. We were pushing 10 million between <br> acquisition and permits and trying to build a <br> bridge so the wood turtles could get under it. It <br> was crazy. And that was not even building the main <br> bridge, and we are hearing like $\$ 20$ million for the <br> main bridge. <br> So regional problem. We need state <br> and federal to come in and help us with it. That's <br> just the reality. One man's opinion. There you <br> go. <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thanks, Dan. <br> BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: You're <br> welcome. <br> MR. PREISS: Thank you. <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Any other <br> comments, questions? <br> MS. SPANN: There is one more. I <br> know he was waiting for me. <br> MR. SCARPULLA: I have a comment. <br> Hi, Brian Scarpulla, 88 Halsey Reed Road, Cranbury. <br> I just want to know if the master <br> plan circulation element has taken Monroe <br> 23 Township's ordinance to ban truck traffic into <br> consideration? <br> 25 MR. PREISS: I'm not sure what you | MR. SCARPULLA: Thank you. <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: Thank you. <br> MR. DILLON: Okay. Two comments. <br> Bob Dillon, 32 Hightstown-Cranbury -- <br> A PUBLIC SPEAKER: At the mike so we <br> can hear you. <br> MR. DILLON: First thing, Cranbury <br> does -- Brick Yard Road does have nice vistas. It <br> has the Stults Farm which is farmland preserved. <br> It has Kurag's (phonetic) farm which is farmland preserved. <br> BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Sure. <br> MR. DILLON: And both of those farms <br> go all the way up to the road. Okay. <br> Now, the other thing you have there <br> is on my side of the road there is a lot of <br> wetlands and woods right there. So you get that <br> natural instinct when you ride down there of a <br> country road and through the woods. So there is a <br> lot of things that that side of the road still has <br> left. There isn't a lot of that left around <br> anymore but there is some. <br> The other thing that I wanted to say <br> is I hope that the planning board looks at it not <br> 25 to change to a medium-density industrial zone. I |
| mean. <br> MR. SCARPULLA: So Monroe Township <br> recently passed an ordinance to ban truck traffic <br> on a couple of their roads leading into the <br> warehouse district in Cranbury. And I just want to <br> know if the circulation element has taken that into <br> consideration? <br> MR. PREISS: So I'm not going to <br> comment on that particular policy. I'll leave it for attorneys to deal with that. <br> But we're trying to deal with <br> traffic on a regional basis. So, you know, we're <br> trying to -- as I indicated before, we are now <br> facing the reality of not having the bypass road <br> done and sending traffic south to Route 8 . So it <br> does take that -- it takes all traffic into <br> consideration that would be generated from the <br> warehouse development in Cranbury. <br> Certainly, if that happens and that <br> is sustained and it's not allowed to go that way, <br> it's going to make things a lot -- it's going to <br> make things a lot worse. <br> 23 I, personally, don't think that <br> that's something that is legal to do, but I'll let <br> the attorneys deal with that. | think the planning board can put an amendment into <br> the industrial light impact zone that would work <br> for the global retail, you know, distribution <br> centers that are there. And I would rather see <br> that done than change it to medium, because I am <br> afraid that's going to open the door to not only <br> what is there right now, but many other things that you do not want. <br> Keep it the light impact even though <br> we got stuck with the traffic and try to work the <br> best you can with it. That's the only thing I can <br> see. <br> CHAIRMAN MAVOIDES: All right. <br> Thank you. <br> MR. DILLON: Thank you, gentlemen. <br> BOARD MEMBER MULLIGAN: Thanks, Bob. <br> MR. PREISS: Thanks. <br> MS. ROGERS: Barbara Rogers. <br> You just reminded me. It's Steve; <br> right? Yeah, Steve. <br> I was just curious, the list of <br> targeted farmland preservation farms, are you not <br> going to include that? I know you meant like you <br> had -- <br> 25 <br> MR. PREISS: We're going to have to |
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| engineer (4) | 5:9;73:9 | 69:7;71:16 | 16:19 | 8:5 |
| $28: 12 ; 57: 19 ; 84: 13 ;$ $87: 6$ | everyone (3) | Fair (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Feranda (6) } \\ 3: 23: 4: 11: 5: 2: 20: 22: \end{array}$ | flourish (1) |
| 87:6 <br> engineering (2) | 63:6;71:2;80:24 <br> exact (1) | 18:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 23 ; 4: 11 ; 5: 2 ; 20: 22 \\ & 82: 13 ; 83: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16: 6 \\ \text { flow }(2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 87:8,8 | exact (1) | fairly (2) 78:1;84:23 | fertilizer (1) | 39:10;87:9 |
| engineers (1) | exactly (5) | fairness (1) | 43:9 | focus (3) |
| 83:4 | 29:17;61:14;63:19; | $56: 20$ | few (7) | 9:11;22:4;48:6 |
| enough (5) | 70:6;74:16 | falling (2) | 39:4;59:7;66:14 | focussed (1) |
| $31: 21 ; 46: 10 ; 70: 1 ;$ $82 \cdot 3 \cdot 87.3$ | $\underset{48 \cdot 19}{\operatorname{examination~(1)}}$ | $54: 10,11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 3 ; 72: 24 ; 73: 21 ; \\ & 83 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $52: 13$ |
| 82:3;87:3 enter (2) | $48: 19$ example (9) | familiar (2) | $83: 21$ <br> field (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { focussing (1) } \\ 26: 17 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { enter (2) } \\ & 76: 24 ; 87: 4 \end{aligned}$ | example (9) <br> 7:10;10:7,8;12:9; | $3: 24 ; 19: 25$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { field (1) } \\ 43: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 26: 17 \\ \text { follow (4) } \end{array}$ |
| enters (1) | 17:6,8,17;52:7;78:8 | $10: 17 ; 14: 19$ | fields (2) | 14:21;20:8;41:9; |
| 4:12 | existing (4) | far (13) | 43:18;84:4 | 57:23 |
| entire (3) | 14:8;22:12;50:5,20 | $4: 4 ; 19: 7,7,8,9 ; 31: 21$ | fifteen (3) | followed (2) |
| 35:4;50:18;62:21 | Exit (7) | $39: 17 ; 40: 19 ; 44: 8$ | $35: 2,2 ; 41: 3$ | $11: 13 ; 52: 15$ |
| $\underset{59 \cdot 5}{\text { environment (1) }}$ | 84:19;85:10,15;86:2, | $54: 17 ; 67: 13 ; 74: 19$ | fifty (1) <br> 48.24 | following (3) $6 \cdot 18 \cdot 11 \cdot 2 \cdot 20 \cdot 14$ |
| 59:5 <br> environmental (16) | 4;88:7, 11 expand (1) | $78: 21$ | 48:24 <br> fifty-three (1) | $6: 18 ; 11: 2 ; 20: 14$ <br> follow-up (3) |
| 7:15;13:20,24;14:1; | expand $12: 21$ | farm (8) | fifty-three (1) $49: 15$ | follow-up (3) 55:19;76:13;78:20 |
| 23:20;24:13,19;28:21; | expanded (1) | $72: 3,12 ; 91: 9,10$ | fight (1) | follow-ups (1) |
| 58:3,7,13;59:23;61:2; | 68:6 | farmer (6) | 33:25 | 77:15 |
| 62:6;66:2;82:23 | expanding (1) | 63:25;64:6,7,12; | figured (1) | Food (5) |
| environmentally (1) | 41:3 | 67:4;71:4 | 72:21 | 43:3,9,13,18;45:3 |
| 42:10 equipment (2) | expect (3) | farmers (5) | $\underset{83.6}{\text { figuring (1) }}$ | foot (4) $29 \cdot 16 \cdot 32 \cdot 17 \cdot 35 \cdot 2$. |
| $71: 9 ; 72: 9$ | experience (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 22 ; 72: 24 ; 83: 20, \\ & 21 ; 84: 3 \end{aligned}$ | Filigree (1) | $41: 3$ |
| especially (1) | 22:24 | farmers' <br> (1) | 43:4 | force (3) |
| 80:5 | explain (1) | 43:18 | fill (2) | 55:15;86:3,4 |
| essence (1) | 5:24 | farmhouses (1) | 42:15;44:3 | forced (1) |
| 50:24 | exposed (2) | 76:16 | fills (1) | 49:12 |
| Essentially (9) | 19:15,18 | farming (2) | 24:25 | foresight (1) |
| 8:13;11:12;12:4,14; | ex-presidents (1) | 43:19;84:4 | finalize (1) | 84:11 |
| 13:22;15:12;18:20; $10 \cdot 6 \cdot 48 \cdot 7$ | 79:4 | farmland (7) | 6:5 | forestry (1) |
| 19:6;48:7 establish | $\underset{50 \cdot 4}{\text { express (1) }}$ | 19:4;72:20;76:16; | finally (2) | 27:17 |
| $16: 15$ | expressed (1) | 83:22;91:9,10;92:22 <br> farms (3) | financing (1) | $78: 10$ |
| established (1) | 7:14 | 69:15;91:13;92:22 | 83:8 | formal (1) |
| 50:5 | extended (1) | fast (3) | find (2) | $20: 5$ |
| estimate (1) | 31:18 | 31:22;32:2,3 | 10:18;17:21 | format (5) |
| 23:12 | extensive (2) | faster (2) | fine (2) | 7:19;8:11,16,21;9:8 |
| et (2) | 67:16;78:24 | 30:6;61:10 | 56:22;57:4 | forth (6) |
| 53:21;54:23 | extent (7) | fault (1) | firm (1) | 8:1,18;11:9;12:17; |
| evaluate (1) | 8:23;11:2,14;17:5; | 35:22 | 3:22 | 13:4;42:15 |
| 55:25 | 20:15,16;51:17 | favorably (1) | first (10) | forty (2) |
| $23: 3$ | extra (1) $24: 1$ | 15:15 <br> feasibility (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 6 ; 8: 17 ; 14: 17 ; \\ & 18: 15 ; 28: 19 ; 40: 16 \text {; } \end{aligned}$ | forty-eight (1) |
| Evelyn (1) | eyesore (1) | $14: 3$ | 48:13;51:17;79:5;91:7 | 28:3 |
| 81:13 | 15:4 | feasible (2) | fit (1) | forty-two (1) |


| 79:25 | 33:6;52:16,16;55:6; | 81:21 | 63:22;86:8 | 74:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| forward (7) | 77:21;88:3 | groups (1) | harder (1) | home (2) |
| 8:15;47:24;49:21; | given (4) | 4:10 | 60:23 | 36:17;41:10 |
| 61:3;62:6;69:19;83:25 | 53:14,21;54:13; | grown (1) | hazard (1) | homeowner (6) |
| fought (1) | 74:14 | 21:21 | 28:21 | 55:11,15;76:22;78:8, |
| 29:7 | giving (3) | growth (3) | heading (1) | 9,14 |
| found (5) | 32:8;77:16;81:16 | 5:22;11:9;22:11 | 24:22 | homeowners (3) |
| 6:8;15:25;17:16; | global (3) | guarantee (1) | heads (3) | 55:13;71:3;76:24 |
| 39:23;63:21 | 30:12;31:14;92:3 | 31:10 | 5:18;21:16,17 | homes (9) |
| four (5) | goals (4) | guess (5) | hear (6) | 10:17;14:19;37:8; |
| 8:8,12,13;46:23; | 7:23;11:25;12:2,5 | 36:7;48:13;57:23; | 5:7;9:12;29:20;67:9; | 47:2,2,7;50:11;77:1,2 |
| 86:22 | goes (17) | 64:15;74:9 | 83:20;91:6 | homework (1) |
| four-way (1) | 6:4;16:25;25:24; | guide (1) | heard (6) | 37:17 |
| 84:8 | 32:5;34:21;35:23;36:5; | 5:21 | 63:7;74:23;77:19; | hook (1) |
| four-ways (1) | 44:17;45:3;47:24; | guiding (1) | 81:18,20,22 | 33:1 |
| 41:10 | 54:17;62:25;63:2;66:3; | 11:9 | hearing (5) | hooked (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Frank (2) } \\ 53: 3 ; 64: 23 \end{gathered}$ | 69:12;74:19;87:21 | guy (2) | 6:5;20:6;52:20;65:8; | 32:20;34:20 |
|  | Goetz (1) | 41:6;43:1 | 89:5 | hooking (1) |
| friendly (1)$66: 19$ | 88:22 | guys (5) | heart (1) | 32:16 |
|  | golf (1) | 31:12;66:12;67:20; | 18:25 | hope (6) |
| friends (1)$81: 12$ | 43:18 | 68:12;73:16 | heavy (1) | 64:6,20;65:6;68:19; |
|  | GOLISANO (8) | Gwen (1) | 67:23 | 85:3;91:24 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { frightened (1) } \\ 73: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 17,18 ; 77: 24 ; \\ & 78: 16,19 ; 79: 2,17,21 \end{aligned}$ | 88:22 | Hello (2) | hopeful (1) |
|  |  |  | 49:22;75:17 | 67:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { frightening (1) } \\ & 74: 3 \end{aligned}$ | Good (11) | H | help (5) | hopefully (2) |
|  | 20:22;23:1;27:25; |  | 13:12,15;26:21; | 80:22;88:11 |
| front (7) |  | half (5) | 76:25;89:8 | hopes (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1 ; 40: 14 ; 41: 4 ; \\ & 42: 15 ; 44: 10,11 ; 55: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 10 ; 81: 23 ; 83: 11 ; \\ & 84: 23 ; 94: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 10:25;21:17;33:6; | helpful (2) | 31:13 |
|  |  | 39:9;53:15 | 20:10;56:3 | hoping (1) |
| fruition (1) | govern (1) | Halsey (11) | helping (1) | 74:4 |
| 68:19 | $44: 17$governing (2) | 49:23;50:16;68:2; | 88:18 | horse (1) |
| fulfillment (2) |  | 73:19,22;74:3,7,19; | Hence (1) | 35:25 |
| 30:12,18 | 6:14;29:24 | 75:10;79:24;89:20 | 6:3 | hot (3) |
| fully (1) | government (1) | Hamlet (1) | Hi (3) | 23:3;71:2;73:9 |
| 82:18 | $88: 4$ | 13:9 | 58:1;81:14;89:20 | hours (1) |
| function (1) | grant (1) | hand (2) | high (2) | 81:25 |
| 6:13 | 60:16granted (1) | 44:16;87:4 | 3:18;42:22 | house (11) |
| funding (3) |  | handle (1) | high-density (1) | 28:4;34:8;37:21,23; |
| 26:3,4;88:3 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \operatorname{granted}(1) \\ 59: 24 \end{array}$ | 22:12 | 75:3 | 42:15;46:7,8;48:24; |
| funny (1) | grants (1) | handled (2) | highly (1) | 49:8,11;76:20 |
| $39: 15$ | 78:14 | 52:15;57:12 | 54:18 | houses (6) |
| further (2) | grass (1) | handout (2) | Hightstown (2) | 46:23;48:12;49:14; |
| future (9) | great (13) | 8:11,20 | 26:8;38:16 | 77:9,16;93:7 |
|  |  | hands (1) | Hightstown-Cranbury (10) | Housing (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:16;11:17;13:24; } \\ & \text { 17:12;22:10,13;23:12; } \\ & 26: 4,20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:4;11:11,13;14:14; } \\ & \text { 26:24;27:18;58:6;64:9; } \\ & 70: 4,25 ; 79: 1 ; 84: 11 ; \\ & \text { 93:23 } \\ & \text { greater (1) } \\ & \text { 14:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 29:25 | 15:2,22;25:13,25; | 18:17;53:21 |
|  |  | happen (9) | 28:2;39:15;40:6,9,13; | HPAC (8) |
|  |  | 17:11;40:22;46:22; | 91:4 | $12: 18 ; 13: 3,14 ; 47: 15$ |
| G |  | $84: 24 ; 85: 3,6$ | $33: 14,22 ; 34: 11$ | HPC (6) |
|  |  | happened (4) | historic (18) | 46:20;47:5,19,21; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { gateway (1) } \\ 15 \cdot 4 \end{gathered}$ | green (2) | 11:12;17:1;59:12; | 7:16;12:15,22,24; | 48:20;79:19 |
| 15:4 <br> gave (3) | Greenstein (1) | 63:16 | 13:2,12,15;34:14;48:5, | hubs (1) |
|  |  | happening (1) | 8,10;58:14;66:3;75:21; | 51:9 |
| gave (3) $32: 8 ; 70: 22$ | 88:16greenway (2) | 77:11 | 76:4;78:11,21;80:6 | huge (1) |
| general (2) |  | happens (5) | historical (3) | 43:11 |
| $48: 1 ; 78: 1$ | greenway (2) 63:11;70:19 | 49:4;55:16,23;87:2; | 48:14;49:14;80:23 | hundred (2) |
| generally (3) | greenways (3) | 90:19 | history (3) | 44:1;63:25 |
| 47:22;59:2;61:7generated (3) | 63:8,8;69:15 | happier (2) | 78:24;79:4;88:20 | hunting (1) |
|  | grindings (1) | 64:13;73:2 | hitting (1) | 71:24 |
| 31:3;84:18;90:17gentlemen (2) | 29:3 | happy (3) | 36:10 | hurt (1) |
|  | ground (2) | 9:16;13:23;44:14 | holistic (1) | 34:14 |
| gentlemen (2) 28:1;92:15 | 29:4;74:2 | hard (5) | 75:12 |  |
| 28:1;92:15 gets (6) | group (1) | 19:2;26:2;53:19; | holistically (1) |  |



| 33:24,25;37:20,23,24; | 46:1;59:10,10;64:16; | 71:15 | Massive (3) | medium (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40:2;42:17;80:13;87:4; | 66:24;88:19 | low-density (2) | 69:3,4;88:13 | 16:5;44:13;51:5,6, |
| 91:21,21 | live (8) | 50:19;75:5 | master (81) | 11,13;52:9;74:10,15; |
| legal (1) | 13:11;19:10;20:10; | luck (1) | 3:10,25;4:4,7,22; | 92:5 |
| 90:24 | 28:2;46:22;53:4;74:3; | 56:5 | 5:10,12,13,20,23;6:1, | medium-density (1) |
| legislative (1) | 79:24 | lucky (1) | 10,25;7:4,6,18,20,22; | 91:25 |
| 51:20 | livelihood (1) | 10:25 | 8:4,18;9:5,7,19,24; | meet (1) |
| Lehr (1) | 84:5 |  | 10:24;11:2,3,5,20;12:4, | 29:6 |
| 84:11 | living (2) | M | 8,17;13:4,22;14:7; | meeting (22) |
| length (1) | 28:4;62:3 |  | 17:1,5;19:24;20:16; | 3:2;4:3,10,15,19;5:6; |
| 35:4 | loading (1) | magic (2) | 21:8,8;22:3;26:17; | 6:3,6;13:7;18:9;20:3, |
| level (2) | 40:15 | 82:8,11 | 27:7;29:19;30:8;47:24; | 14;47:5;57:18;58:18; |
| 3:18;88:15 | local (1) | main (14) | 49:25;51:5,17,18;52:1, | 59:3,25;60:3;63:7; |
| Liberty (14) | 26:22 | 5:6;18:23;21:4,12; | 8,12;53:7,11,14,23; | 65:7,17;75:23 |
| 21:17;23:17;24:11, | locate (1) | 33:23;37:15;38:15; | 54:25;55:3,5;56:11; | meetings (4) |
| 14;25:5,8;32:16;33:5, | 36:21 | 48:15;53:4;66:11;72:7; | 57:8,10;60:22;62:3; | 48:25;58:19;60:15; |
| 9;38:23;82:7;84:8; | located (2) | 75:20;89:4,6 | 63:13,16;66:15,23; | 61:5 |
| 85:14;88:2 | 9:6;16:7 | mainly (1) | 69:13;77:22,23;80:5; | Mel (1) |
| library (5) | location (4) | 77:13 | 81:19,19;85:2,4,11; | 84:11 |
| 76:8,9;78:23;80:3,25 | 16:23;25:19,20;50:4 | maintain (3) | 89:21;93:14 | member (27) |
| Liedtke (1) | long (2) | 42:1;62:12;67:5 | materials (1) | 27:10;56:6,25;68:22; |
| 81:13 | 38:20;61:8 | maintenance (1) | 16:23 | 70:3,6,10,14,17;71:17, |
| life (1) | longer (2) | 42:12 | Matt (1) | 21,23,25;72:1,4,6,8,10, |
| 37:9 | 52:1;82:14 | major (5) | 80:11 | 15;73:4,8;75:21;85:19; |
| lift (1) | long-time (1) | 12:14;14:11;19:14, | Matthew (1) | 87:14;89:12;91:12; |
| 67:23 | 75:18 | 23;38:5 | 66:10 | 92:16 |
| light (16) | look (27) | makes (2) | MAVOIDES (35) | members (4) |
| 15:25;29:9;31:2,4, | 5:15;8:10,17;9:21; | 6:11;52:2 | 3:1,8;20:21;26:24; | 4:5;7:7,7,8 |
| 25;32:15;33:9;38:12, | 13:14,21;14:2;15:12, | making (1) | 27:24;30:7;44:20,22; | mention (2) |
| 21;42:2;45:10,19;51:7, | 14,20;16:8;22:20;40:9; | 43:6 | 45:1;46:12,16;47:12; | 14:16;80:2 |
| 14;92:2,9 | 42:9;49:25;61:20; | mandatory (2) | 48:18;49:16,20;52:23; | mentioned (6) |
| lighting (1) | 68:24,25;69:2,6,20,21; | 8:9;49:6 | 55:22,24;56:13,19,23; | 32:11;38:23;46:19; |
| 43:8 | 74:5;78:18;85:5;87:19; | maneuverability (1) | 57:25;73:15,17;75:16; | 53:18;58:19;68:5 |
| lightly (1) | 88:10 | 87:3 | 79:16;81:1,9;89:11,15; | menu (1) |
| 52:22 | looked (15) | manner (1) | 91:2;92:13;93:25;94:5, | 43:17 |
| lights (4) | 4:24;12:1;18:14,14, | 15:16 | 8 | Mercerville (1) |
| 33:8,13;38:13;39:8 | 16,16,16,18;21:7,23; | man's (1) | $\boldsymbol{m a x}(1)$ | 38:11 |
| likelihood (2) | 22:7,9;25:10;68:13; | 89:9 | 35:7 | mess (2) |
| 22:19;86:9 | 88:20 | manufacturer (1) | may (7) | 30:25;88:8 |
| likely (3) | looking (13) | 30:20 | 24:1,24;42:2;43:17; | met (6) |
| 17:11;22:21;64:18 | 8:14,15;13:25;21:1; | manufacturing (3) | 44:4;69:21;86:9 | 7:15,15;8:24;12:18; |
| likewise (1) | 48:21;58:9;60:18; | 15:24;29:10;43:5 | maybe (14) | 47:21;68:3 |
| 19:18 | 68:16;76:9;80:11; | many (12) | 6:6;12:21,25;13:14; | methodology (1) |
| Linda (1) | 86:12;87:24;88:24 | 7:17;12:7;16:11,20; | 22:10;55:5;60:24;63:7; | 78:13 |
| 88:16 | looks (2) | 22:25;23:19;38:9;54:7; | 67:23;70:12;73:10,11; | microphone (1) |
| line (1) | 39:18;91:24 | 58:2;80:9;88:24;92:7 | 88:2,11 | 20:7 |
| 32:4 | loosened (1) | $\boldsymbol{m a p}(1)$ | mayor (1) | mid (1) |
| link (1) | 15:13 | 6:12 | 5:14 | 77:13 |
| 66:22 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ (34) | Maplewood/Scott (1) | mean (16) | Middlesex (1) |
| links (1) | 5:18;10:24;11:5; | 22:2 | 24:22,23;25:15; | 68:3 |
| 22:6 | 12:2;13:9,19,25;16:18; | maps (1) | 34:22;38:19;41:6; | Mid-State (3) |
| list (14) | 29:23;30:21,23;41:13; | 8:1 | 42:20;45:19;48:16; | 28:12;43:4,5 |
| 77:6,7,7,8,10,20,21, | 42:12,14;44:22;45:24; | March (1) | 62:6;64:5,20;69:25; | might (4) |
| 22;78:2;92:21;93:1,13, | 49:3;52:6;62:11;65:1; | 76:5 | 72:10;87:1;90:1 | 22:11;64:18;72:23; |
| 20,21 | 68:19;70:23;72:19; | marked (1) | means (4) | 86:15 |
| Listen (2) | 75:25;81:24;82:10; | 40:11 | 19:17;26:13;40:4; | Mike (2) |
| 55:22;66:7 | 83:12,13;87:12;90:21, | marker (2) | 45:25 | 56:24;91:5 |
| listening (3) | 22;91:16,20,21 | 36:15,22 | meant (2) | mile (1) |
| 73:21;74:13;81:16 | lot-by-lot (1) | MARLOWE (8) | 85:23;92:23 | 50:13 |
| listing (1) | 47:16 | 53:1,3;55:23;56:4; | mechanics (1) | million (4) |
| 77:16 | lots (2) | 57:1,11,20,22 | 5:25 | 82:9,11;89:1,5 |
| little (9) | 8:1;40:14 | M-A-R-L-O-W-E (1) | mechanism (1) | millions (1) |
| 5:5;29:15;37:19; | love (1) | 53:3 | 65:2 | 88:25 |


| Millstone (1) | 85:5 | negatives (1) | $15: 14 ; 35: 1 ; 39: 12$ | 26:10;30:14;35:7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:21 | Moving (5) | 74:14 | 41:25;50:1;51:2;72:11; | 48:11;49:14;55:16; |
| mind (2) | 34:18;36:9;67:9; | neighboring (1) | 83:1 | 75:9;78:8,13;92:6,11 |
| 64:7,12 | 68:3;88:10 | 51:1 | numerous (1) | onto (4) |
| minimize (1) | much (20) | network (2) | 38:23 | 38:5;86:3;88:11,11 |
| 29:15 | 3:20;27:19;28:6; | 63:11;67:14 |  | open (6) |
| misallow (1) | 32:18;33:1;34:14,15; | nevertheless (1) | 0 | 20:20;23:25;27:3; |
| 51:9 | 39:5,19;52:2,25;57:24; | 38:20 |  | 74:16;78:1;92:6 |
| misconceptions (1) | 58:2;63:18;66:8;68:21; | new (7) | objectives (6) | opinion (3) |
| 4:14 | 74:14;79:18;82:9; | 5:12,22;18:7;19:17; | 7:23;8:19,24;12:1,3, | 31:1;82:9;89:9 |
| miss (1) | 87:10 | 21:23;26:18;50:2 | 6 | opportunity (6) |
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| 18:11;30:2;51:3 | 21:15;24:17 | same (5) | 4:7 | 6:22;25:19;39:14; |
| Richard (12) | roadways (1) | 28:4;37:14;39:18; | Service (1) | 82:14,24 |
| 3:11,16,19,21;46:17; | 22:7 | 66:3;87:23 | 15:1 | significantly (1) |
| 47:12;56:7,13;57:1; | Robert (1) | saturated (1) | services (2) | 85:10 |
| 81:14,23;94:1 | 28:1 | 29:4 | 19:9;50:7 | signs (2) |
| ride (3) | rode (1) | saying (4) | set (5) | 37:20,24 |
| 40:8,8;91:18 | 41:8 | 30:5;42:22;73:5; | 8:18;11:9;12:17; | simply (1) |
| riders (1) | ROGERS (26) | 74:9 | 13:4;54:18 | 19:16 |
| 41:14 | 58:1,1,23;60:8; | scale (1) | sets (1) | single (3) |
| right (45) | 61:17,21,25;62:4,20; | 10:17 | 5:21 | 10:17;14:18;54:21 |
| 4:17;15:4;24:15,17; | 63:1,4,18;64:15,22; | SCARPULLA (4) | settling (1) | sit (3) |
| 29:21;31:25;33:8,14, | 65:15,18,25;66:5; | 89:19,20;90:2;91:1 | 80:13 | 29:23;47:15;81:21 |
| 17;34:18,25;35:22; | 92:18,18;93:2,5,10,16, | scary (1) | seven (1) | site (17) |
| 36:11,14;37:1,24,25; | 18,21 | 74:18 | 21:25 | 15:9;17:19;20:5; |
| 40:2,11;42:17;45:8; | role (1) | scenario (1) | several (1) | 32:14,21;35:12,18; |
| 50:11;55:20;56:24; | 7:2 | 31:9 | 80:18 | 38:3,3,5;39:21;43:14; |
| 57:22;61:24;62:1; | roll (1) | scenic (2) | sewer (1) | 44:2,5,9,11;59:19 |
| 68:25;69:2,8;70:5,15, | 3:7 | 10:8;62:12 | 74:25 | sites (2) |
| 20,21;83:2,15;87:2,20, | room (2) | school (4) | shade (2) | 35:9;76:4 |
| 25;91:17;92:7,13,20; | 4:3;39:18 | 19:8;50:6;54:16,22 | 27:11,13 | sitting (1) |
| 93:4;94:8 | roots (2) | SCOTT (11) | Share (1) | 30:4 |
| right-of-way (4) | 54:2,12 | 66:10,11;69:24;70:5, | 18:18 | situation (2) |
| 35:3;41:4;71:4;72:2 | rosy (1) | 7,13,16;72:16;73:7,13, | ship (1) | 52:6;55:17 |
| rights (2) | 59:10 | 16 | 30:20 | situations (1) |
| 70:22,23 | Route (8) | Scott's (1) | shipped (1) | 16:21 |
| ripped (1) | 21:5,13;31:19;38:25; | 80:11 | 30:19 | six (3) |
| 88:9 | 71:1;84:17,19;90:15 | screen (1) | short (2) | 28:24;43:7;46:6 |
| rise (1) | routes (1) | 39:17 | 4:19;81:3 | sixteen (1) |
| 3:3 | 69:22 | screening (1) | shoulder (1) | 47:4 |
| River (2) | rumors (1) | 16:23 | 41:2 | size (1) |
| 21:16;87:22 | 74:23 | second (5) | show (2) | 45:24 |
| Road (116) | run (7) | 8:22;51:2,19;81:6; | 30:14;77:11 | slabs (3) |
| 15:2,21,22;21:4,5,16, | 3:16;35:4;41:11; | 83:18 | showing (1) | 29:1,3;43:6 |
| 18;22:2;24:9,25;25:2, | 64:10;71:12;86:7; | section (9) | 39:18 | slightly (1) |
| 9,13,13,17,23,24;26:1, | 93:12 | 4:19;7:22;9:10; | shown (1) | 15:24 |
| 1,5,8,9,10,11;27:10; | runners (1) | 22:14;24:14;58:22; | 52:17 | slow (2) |
| 28:2,11,16;31:21; | 54:22 | 62:22,24;76:9 | shows (1) | 34:19;38:18 |
| 32:10,15,20,22,24,25; | running (2) | sections (3) | 35:12 | slowly (1) |
| 33:7,25;34:3,4,8,12,23; | 28:22,23 | 9:15;17:25;21:15 | Shropshire (1) | 23:11 |
| 35:1,2,5,7,17,25;37:6, | runoff (1) | security (1) | 3:23 | small (3) |
| 7,10,11,22;38:1,4,16; | 29:6 | 16:19 | sick (1) | 12:13;76:2;83:1 |
| 39:1,9,9,15;40:5,5,6,7, | runs (3) | seek (1) | 41:7 | smaller (1) |
| 9,10,10,13,24;41:1,11; | 42:19;43:20,23 | 16:14 | side (18) | 79:8 |
| 42:1,2;49:23;50:16; | rural (3) | seeking (1) | 19:5,5;21:20;31:20; | smart (2) |
| 51:4;60:13;62:18,24; | 50:23;61:7;72:13 | 64:12 | 34:14;35:24;36:5,7,11, | 11:10;29:23 |
| 63:1,3;67:2,3,23; |  | seem (3) | 11;40:15;41:2;70:25; | smoother (1) |
| 68:25;69:3,5,8,11,25; $70: 15 ; 73: 19,23 ; 74: 4,7,$ | S | 23:23;50:12;54:23 | 71:5;79:25;84:18; 91:16,20 | $87: 9$ sole (1) |
| 11,19,20;75:10,11; | sacrifices (1) | 74:13 | sidewalk (2) | 3:8 |
| 79:11,24;84:9,15,19; | 72:13 | seems (4) | 55:11,14 | solve (2) |
| 85:12,21;86:10;88:24; | Safe (7) | 16:1;61:25;63:13; | sidewalks (9) | 35:19;87:18 |
| 89:20;90:14;91:8,14, | 39:24,25;40:23; | 75:25 | 48:16;53:6,9,24; | somebody (6) |
| 16,19,20 | 42:10;61:11;62:19; | Send (1) | 54:10,14,20;55:2,9 | 16:13;29:17;42:5,7; |
| Road/Hightstown-Cranbury (1) | 71:10 | 65:11 | sight (2) | 71:12;81:8 |
| 51:4 | safely (2) | sending (2) | 25:16;42:10 | somebody's (1) |
| roadblock (3) | 26:23;87:11 | 86:12;90:15 | signage (1) | 36:3 |
| $67: 4 ; 83: 12,17$ | safer (1) | sense (1) | $17: 17$ | somehow (2) |


| 72:21;88:2 | standardize (1) | 15:12;29:10,16;30:3; | 38:9;56:18;59:11;82:5; | 83:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| someone's (1) | 16:22 | 42:8 | 83:19;84:1;89:25; | Thanks (9) |
| 76:20 | standards (2) | stormwater (1) | 91:12;93:6 | 57:25;79:19;80:8; |
| sometimes (1) | 17:19,20 | 59:25 | surrounded (2) | 89:11;92:16,17;93:24; |
| 30:19 | start (1) | straight (1) | 50:8;74:7 | 94:9,12 |
| somewhere (1) | 88:18 | 34:11 | surrounding (3) | therefore (1) |
| 77:4 | started (2) | stream (2) | 13:11;19:1;24:3 | 49:12 |
| soon (2) | 4:4;36:10 | 63:9,23 | surveyed (1) | thinking (1) |
| 6:6;78:23 | starting (3) | Street (12) | 36:22 | 68:1 |
| sorry (2) | 54:20;55:4;57:14 | 21:4,12;33:23;35:24; | Susan (1) | third (2) |
| 10:18;81:11 | state (8) | 36:12;37:2;38:16;39:2; | 88:21 | 8:25;37:18 |
| sort (8) | 23:4;54:1,9;83:5; | 41:6;48:15;53:4;75:20 | sustainability (3) | thirty (2) |
| 7:22;8:4;62:2;63:21; | 87:23;88:4,15;89:7 | streets (4) | 13:19;58:5,8 | 69:13;77:4 |
| 67:17,21;77:16;78:1 | stated (2) | 20:25;39:24,25; | sustained (1) | though (6) |
| sorts (1) | 9:2;39:3 | 40:23 | 90:20 | 6:9;7:3;18:5;43:16; |
| 6:17 | Statement (5) | streetscape (1) | swamp (1) | 72:23;92:9 |
| south (11) | 3:6;30:6;31:15;35:9; | 48:9 | 28:17 | thought (4) |
| 15:21;21:16;24:19; | 64:5 | stressed (1) | sworn (1) | 12:19;24:20;73:12; |
| 25:8;26:6;28:11;42:1; | statements (1) | 53:17 | 20:4 | 85:23 |
| 51:3;74:10;87:20; | 4:15 | structures (4) | system (1) | Three (8) |
| 90:15 | states (3) | 14:18;17:8;25:17,18 | 67:16 | 10:5,12,13;45:25; |
| southbound (3) | 50:3;58:25;59:2 | stuck (1) | Szabo (1) | 46:2,9;74:2;81:10 |
| 25:7;31:20;39:10 | Station (35) | 92:10 | 75:23 | threw (1) |
| southeast (3) | 13:9;15:2,22;24:25; | study (1) |  | 36:16 |
| 22:4,14;25:11 <br> southern (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:2,9,13,13,25;26:8, } \\ & 10 ; 28: 2 ; 32: 20,22,24 \end{aligned}$ | $62: 7$ <br> studying | T | throughout (2) $19: 21 ; 53: 25$ |
| 24:14,17;25:4 | 33:25;34:3,8,22;36:1, | 22:16 | table (1) | timeframes (1) |
| southward (1) | 2;39:1,9,15;40:5,6,9, | stuff (4) | 47:23 | 23:13 |
| 86:12 | 13;51:4;68:2,15;78:21, | 29:3;30:1;41:14,24 | tainting (1) | timeout (1) |
| SPANN (13) | 25;80:7,10 | Stults (1) | 38:2 | 56:14 |
| $81: 13,13 ; 83: 2,4,9$ | Station-Hightstown (1) | 91:9 | talk (14) | times (3) |
| $16 ; 85: 17,22,25 ; 86: 14$ | $75: 10$ | subcommittee (9) | 5:4;7:19;9:16;11:23; | 28:7;38:24;80:18 |
| 25;87:13;89:17 | stay (1) | 7:6;18:14,21;52:5; | 20:19,23;53:5;57:19; | together (6) |
| speak (1) | 45:10 | 56:1,15;60:14;65:13; | 71:3;78:22,24;81:6; | 5:18;9:22;11:11; |
| 44:23 | stayed (1) | 66:21 | 82:7,8 | 38:12,16;81:21 |
| SPEAKER (4) | 29:8 | subject (3) | talked (2) | told (3) |
| 34:24;41:18,22;91:5 | steady (1) | 13:3;39:4;57:13 | 41:20;61:6 | 36:19;45:6;68:11 |
| specific (6) | 54:9 | subjective (1) | talking (8) | tonight (5) |
| 7:17;12:3;14:6; | Steel (1) | 50:13 | $7: 14 ; 20: 24 ; 38: 8$ | 3:9;44:23;80:12,22; |
| 47:23;52:6;59:23 | 43:25 | subsequent (1) | $62: 24 ; 74: 16 ; 82: 11,16$ | $94: 1$ |
| specifically (4) | step (3) | 64:6 | 83:1 | tonight's (1) |
| 14:15;21:1;27:7; | 47:25;62:2;88:17 | substantial (1) | talks (1) | 8:21 |
| 58:19 | steps (5) | 23:23 | 4:19 | took (6) |
| specifications (1) | 8:9,12,13;10:6;54:4 | suddenly (1) | targeted (1) | 4:24;10:6;14:20; |
| 51:11 | Steve (4) | 49:8 | 92:22 | 29:11;30:3;39:21 |
| specifics (3) | 47:6;75:17;92:19,20 | suggested (1) | Taylor (1) | top (1) |
| 47:14,25;76:13 | still (12) | 10:21 | 88:22 | 36:16 |
| specified (1) | 5:20;22:20;23:25; | suggestion (1) | technical (1) | total (2) |
| 57:12 | 31:21;43:19;62:11; | 56:8 | 60:16 | 9:24;10:1 |
| speedier (1) | 65:2,3;67:4;82:17; | suggestions (2) | technically (1) | totally (1) |
| 61:9 | 84:23;91:20 | 5:1;58:3 | 48:17 | 35:15 |
| spend (1) | stomping (1) | summary (1) | telephone (1) | towards (2) |
| 81:25 | 63:14 | 4:8 | 36:6 | 38:1;63:1 |
| spoke (1) | stop (5) | sundown (1) | ten (2) | town (16) |
| 80:18 | 33:14;36:12;68:7; | 54:21 | 5:13;9:2 | 7:13;15:19;34:16; |
| spot (4) | 80:11,14 | sunup (1) | tended (1) | 49:24;53:21;54:15,17; |
| 50:15,17;70:4;72:4 | stoplight (1) | 54:21 | 16:2 | 55:15;62:25;66:18; |
| spots (1) | 86:19 | support (2) | tenets (1) | 67:8,10;69:16,20; |
| 23:4 | stoplights (1) | 18:11;88:15 | 69:12 | 72:25;83:23 |
| square (1) | 86:18 | supposed (5) | term (1) | towns (1) |
| 32:17 | stopping (1) | 35:7;38:5,6,6;57:7 | 31:4 | 51:10 |
| standard (1) | 31:22 | sure (12) | terms (4) | town's (1) |
| 29:6 | storage (5) | 4:23;22:18;27:12; | 9:25;76:15;78:6; | 3:11 |


| township (30) | trip (1) | Ultimately (1) | 16:23;37:23;41:13; | volume (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:22;5:14;6:13,18; | 94:11 | 6:1 | 54:18;80:10 | 42:23 |
| 7:7,10;14:4,19;17:10; | trips (3) | unacceptable (2) | useless (2) | Voorhees (1) |
| 26:2;28:7,15;29:13; | 31:6,6;42:14 | 35:15;37:9 | 32:18,18 | 61:18 |
| 40:6;43:22;48:25;49:9; | truck (12) | under (8) | uses (5) |  |
| 50:22;51:1,20;53:10; | 24:23;31:6;32:1; | 5:11;20:13;21:8; | 15:23;50:20,21,25; | W |
| 57:7,18;58:12;62:13; $64 \cdot 14 \cdot 66 \cdot 20 \cdot 73 \cdot 19$. | 34:19;35:14;38:17; | 40:19;49:11;51:24; | 51:5 |  |
| 64:14;66:20;73:19; | 59:22;80:11,13,14; | 84:22;89:3 | using (3) | Wagner (1) |
| 88:21;90:2 | 89:23;90:3 | underlying (2) | 23:7;34:12;85:14 | 69:14 |
| Township's (1) | trucking (1) | 9:1;18:1 | utilities (2) | wait (1) |
| 89:23 | 51:12 | undertake (2) | 7:25;12:10 | 80:14 |
| tractor (2) | trucks (15) | 8:9;57:7 |  | waiting (1) |
| 44:9;71:12 | 28:25;30:23;31:20; | undertaken (3) | V | 89:18 |
| tractors (1) | 33:15;35:16;37:20; | 10:14;15:11;52:21 |  | waiver (1) |
| 71:9 | 38:18;40:17;42:14,16, | unfeasible (1) | vague (1) | 59:24 |
| traffic (76) | 23;43:8;59:8;68:14; | 89:1 | 51:7 | walk (5) |
| 3:23;5:3;19:16;21:6, | 87:3 | unhook (1) | vagueness (1) | 41:12;53:20;60:17, |
| 13;22:12,13;24:6,7,8,8, | true (1) | 44:5 | 28:14 | 19,20 |
| 24;25:2,7,20;26:9,10, | 11:1 | unique (3) | valid (1) | walkability (1) |
| 11,12,13,21;30:25; | trust (1) | 69:1,7;79:4 | 72:17 | 67:10 |
| 31:3,11,14,23,24;32:4, | 58:7 | units (1) | value (5) | walkers (1) |
| 24;33:7,9,10,13,21; | try (6) | 74:24 | 55:8;62:12;76:25; | 54:22 |
| 34:9,16,20;35:8,15; | 60:17;64:18;73:12 | unless (2) | 80:6,23 | walking (2) |
| 37:8,13,21;38:2,12,13, | 85:3;88:25;92:10 | 32:25;61:13 | values (3) | 53:19;63:8 |
| 17,24;39:3,8,10;59:22; | trying (11) | unlikely (1) | 67:8;73:1;77:1 | walkway (1) |
| 60:15;61:10;66:21; | 10:18;31:8;37:4; | 63:10 | variability (1) | 84:3 |
| 82:14,16,17,20;84:16, | 57:2;61:23;83:16;86:2; | up (54) | 55:12 | walkways (1) |
| 17;85:5,14;86:10,17, | 88:23;89:2;90:11,13 | 13:7;14:20;15:8,13; | variance (2) | 63:13 |
| 23;87:8,9,10,17;88:11; | turn (21) | 20:6,20;24:25;27:3,5; | 16:14;59:24 | wand (2) |
| 89:23;90:3,12,15,16; | 3:15;11:22;20:1,18; | 28:23;30:4,14,24; | variances (1) | 82:9,12 |
| 92:10 | 24:25;25:3,6;31:17,18, | 31:24;32:2,3;33:9,11, | 6:23 | wants (4) |
| trailer (3) | 25;32:3;33:8,24,25; | 22;35:19;36:21;37:24; | various (1) | 42:7;43:22;70:18; |
| 44:3,6,7 | 35:17;38:1;40:2,11,11; | 38:13,25;39:16;40:11; | 7:24 | 76:22 |
| trailers (2) | 60:24;87:4 | 41:5,6;42:16;44:6,12; | vegetation (1) | warehouse (18) |
| 44:1,9 | turned (1) | 46:23,25;51:19;55:9; | 39:19 | 21:19;24:18;25:21; |
| train (1) | 46:3 | 56:15;57:15;60:24; | vehicles (1) | 30:10,13,22;31:1,18; |
| 79:6 | Turnpike (4) | 61:23;63:21;64:10; | 23:7 | 37:18;39:20;41:5;46:3, |
| training (1) | 18:7;39:21;50:3; | 65:17;67:4,8;68:12; | version (1) | 9;50:8;68:8;74:1;90:5, |
| 82:1 | 68:7 | 69:8;70:22,24;72:12; | 58:24 | 18 |
| Transportation (2) | turns (5) | 74:17;75:2;86:7;88:17; | versus (1) | warehouses (5) |
| 61:18;84:13 | 24:24;37:20,24,24 | 91:14 | 51:14 | 38:25;40:15;45:25; |
| travel (2) | 53:13 | update (2) | via (1) | 59:8,14 |
| 24:24;82:15 | turtles (1) | 93:1,13 | 65:22 | warehousing (2) |
| traveling (2) | 89:3 | updated (2) | video (1) | 31:5;51:12 |
| 26:13;40:25 | twenty-five (2) | 76:4;77:21 | 41:23 | water (6) |
| travels (1) | 10:1;35:8 | updating (2) | view (2) | 29:6;32:8;36:13,15; |
| 21:6 | twenty-four (1) | 93:19,21 | 43:15;61:6 | $42: 19 ; 74: 25$ |
| treat (1) | 47:2 | upon (4) | village (12) | waterway (1) |
| 55:8 | twenty-three (1) | 15:15;49:12;55:6; | 16:9;19:1,3;21:2,3; | 21:11 |
| treatment (1) | 47:2 | 59:6 | 33:21;50:6,14;53:9,19, | way (41) |
| 53:6 | two (13) | upset (2) | 20,25 | 11:14;21:17;23:17; |
| tree (4) | 7:6,7,8;10:16;21:11; | 72:24;83:21 | violated (1) | 24:11,14;25:5,8;32:16; |
| 27:11,13;54:2,12 | 22:1;33:10;43:3;50:1; | up-to-date (1) | 30:9 | 33:5,6,7,9,11,22;36:5; |
| trees (1) | 76:7;81:3,9;91:3 | 26:18 | Viridian (1) | 37:3,14;38:7,23;40:10; |
| 42:18 | type (1) | Use (24) | 35:12 | 41:3,4;43:16,23;52:16; |
| Trenton (7) | 54:2 | 5:11;7:25;8:8;9:5; | vision (4) | 55:8;57:17;60:11; |
| 24:20;25:5;32:15; | typically (1) | 11:21;14:11,13;16:5; | 11:8;64:16;84:20,21 | 62:10;63:2;65:2;82:7; |
| 69:25;82:18;84:9; | 15:25 | 24:7,20;25:7,21;26:10, | visit (1) | 84:8;85:14,15,17;86:3; |
| 88:24 | U | 11;32:19,22;50:23; | $41: 7$ vista (1) | 87:14;88:3;90:20; |
| $62: 17$ |  | 56:12;80:14;82:15; | - $46: 4$ | Wayfair (5) |
| tries (1) | Uh-hum (1) | 84:19 | vistas (2) | 24:18;30:11;34:10; |
| 43:14 | 35:10 | used (5) | 10:8;91:8 | 40:16;88:21 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Wayne (1) } \\ 88: 17 \\ \text { ways (1) } \\ 85: 16 \\ \text { website (1) } \\ 3: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46: 1 \\ \text { woods }(3) \\ 46: 4 ; 91: 17,19 \\ \text { word }(\mathbf{2}) \\ 49: 7 ; 63: 14 \end{gathered}$ | 75:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 3,5,15,20 ; 12: 8 ; \\ & \text { 18:16;19:24;21:8;30:8, } \\ & \text { 10;35:6;63:16;66:15; } \\ & 81: 20 ; 84: 22 ; 85: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 7th (1) } \\ 65: 7 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{Z}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  |  | zone (15) |  |  |
|  |  | 16:10;28:10,11,13; | 18:19;76:5 | 8 (6) |
| Wednesday (1) | 60:11 | 30:10;31:3;39:13; | 2017 (1) | 50:1;85:10,15;86:2; |
| 66:13 | wording (2) | 46:21;47:1,8;48:20; | 76:6 | 88:11;90:15 |
| week (1) | 59:11;60:11 | 50:16,24;91:25;92:2 | 2018 (1) | 8:30 (1) |
| 80:3 | words (2) | zoned (1) | 76:6 | 66:13 |
| weeks (1) | 10:6;50:12 | 28:13 | 2019 (3) | 8:54 (1) |
| 68:3 | work (20) | zones (2) | 3:9;4:3;59:3 | 94:14 |
| welcome (1) | 4:22;6:16;11:7; | 16:19;45:10 | 21st (1) | 82 (1) |
| 89:13 | 15:11;27:22,22;28:20, | zoning (32) | 65:20 | 66:11 |
| weren't (1) | 20;33:12;34:2;36:20; | 6:12,12,21,25;7:8; | 22 (2) | 87,000 (2) |
| 40:20 | 38:22;43:7;56:8;64:25; | 15:11;16:4,12;18:1,7, | 27:9;31:15 | 32:7,8 |
| west (4) | 68:18;77:17;82:1;92:2, | 24;19:12,21;29:18,22, | 23 (1) | 88 (1) |
| 19:5;24:22,22,23 | 10 | 23;42:8,9;43:1,11; | 60:10 | 89:20 |
| wetland (1) | worked (4) | 45:2;50:2,16,17,18; | 27 (2) | 8A (4) |
| 74:22 | 3:22;26:2;66:21,21 | 51:21,23,25;52:10,15, | 58:23;59:2 | 84:20;86:4;88:7,9 |
| wetlands (5) $35: 4 ; 46: 2 ; 74: 8,22 ;$ | working (3) 27:14;60:15;76:18 | 16;74:18 zoo (1) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \mathbf{2 8} \text { (1) } \\ 76: 2 \end{array}$ | 9 |
| 91:17 | works (1) | 34:6 |  |  |
| what's (4) | 23:11 |  | 3 | 900 (1) |
| 38:2;65:19;69:2; | worry (1) | 1 |  | 31:6 |
| 83:12 | 69:22 |  | 32 (2) | 92 (1) |
| whatsoever (2) | worrying (1) | 1 (1) | 28:2;91:4 | 49:23 |
| 43:13;54:8 | 61:22 | 35:1 | 39 (2) | 93 (1) |
| wheelchair (1) | worse (1) | 10 (2) | 9:22;39:12 | 73:18 |
| 41:8 | 90:22 | 46:17;89:1 |  |  |
| Whereas (1) | worst-case (1) | 10,000 (1) | 4 |  |
| 32:20 | 31:9 | 74:24 |  |  |
| Whereupon (3) | worth (1) | 11 (1) | 4 (1) |  |
| 3:5;4:11;94:13 | 68:16 | 53:4 | 51:2 |  |
| whipping (1) | written (4) | 13 (1) | 40 (1) |  |
| 71:11 | 40:23;64:24;65:11, | 58:4 | 9:22 |  |
| whole (2) | 18 | 130 (21) | 425,000 (1) |  |
| 5:22;32:4 | wrong (1) | 21:5,13;24:7,21,23, | 32:7 |  |
| who's (1) | 63:14 | 24;31:15,19;33:6,11; | 43 (2) |  |
| 5:3 | Wynnewood (4) | 34:1;38:7,8;71:1; | 41:25;51:2 |  |
| wide (1) | 46:18;47:3,7;49:14 | 82:15,20;84:17,19; | 44 (1) |  |
| 69:25 |  | 85:18;86:3;87:5 | 50:1 |  |
| widen (2) | Y | 1500 (1) | 440 (1) |  |
| 37:10,12 |  | 31:5 | 40:16 |  |
| widened (1) | Yard (26) | 1800s (1) | 46 (1) |  |
| 37:7 | 15:21;25:23;26:1,5, | 77:13 | 34:25 |  |
| widening (2) $61: 9 ; 85: 12$ | 9,11;28:11;32:10,25; $35: 1,2,5,17: 37: 21$ | 1833 (1) 79.6 | 5 |  |
| width (1) | $38: 1,4,16 ; 40: 24 ; 42: 2$ | 1980s (1) |  |  |
| 40:10 | 51:3;74:10;85:19,21; | 11:10 | 5 (1) |  |
| willingly (1) | 86:1;91:8 |  | $39: 12$ 571 (1) |  |
| 76:25 within (3) | yards (1) $54: 16$ | 2 | $571(1)$ |  |
| 25:11;50:6;84:18 | year (4) | 2 (2) | 34:5 |  |
| without (7) | 5:14;13:8;14:20; | 28:23;41:25 | 6 |  |
| 16:16;20:18;32:16; | 75:23 | 2.7 (1) |  |  |
| 37:5;40:24;74:17; | years (18) | 32:16 | 6 (1) |  |
| 93:13 | 5:13;9:2;10:23; | 2.8 (1) | 34:2 |  |
| wonderful (1) $80: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 25 ; 23: 19 ; 28: 3 \\ & \text { 29:11;45:7;47:4;48:24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32: 16 \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6}(\mathbf{1}) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $80: 17$ wood (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:11;45:7;47:4;48:24; } \\ & \text { 49:1,7,15;61:5;68:5; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2006(1) \\ 18: 18 \end{array}$ | 7 |  |
| 89:3 | 69:13;79:25;84:24 | 2010 (20) | 700 (1) |  |
| wooded (1) | young (1) | 5:11,20;6:9;9:24; | 48:15 |  |

