DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY

23-A NORTH MAIN STREET CRANBURY, NEW JERSEY 08512

(609) 395-0900, Ext. 221 FAX (609) 395-3560

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Summary of Meeting

Meeting Date: April 6, 2017

Meeting Commenced: 5:00 p.m.

The Development Review Committee conducted the following informal meetings as required pursuant to Township Land Development Section 150-76.

DRC MEMBERS:

- ☑ Paul Mullen (EC Representative)
- ☑ Glenn Johnson (PB Member)
- ☑ Arthur Hasselbach (PB Member)
- ☐ Sean Deverin (ZBA Member)

PROFESSIONALS/ CONSULTANT/STAFF ATTENDANCE:

- ☑ Andrew Feranda, Board Traffic Consultant Shropshire Assoc.
- ☐ Trishka Waterbury Cecil, Esquire Mason, Griffin & Pierson, P.C.
- ☑ Josette C. Kratz, PB/ZBA Secretary/Land Use Administrator
- ☑ David Hoder, P.E., Board Engineer Maser Consulting
- ☑ Richard Preiss, PP, AICP, Conflict Planner (Liz Laney, P.P. in place of Mr. Preiss)

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC:

DISCUSSIONS:

PB289-16 Radko/Wells Enterprises, LLC Block 23, Lot 50.01, Zone VC 55 North Main Street Major Site Plan **Applicant's Representatives Attending:** Frank Brennan, Esquire David Wells, Applicant/Owner Don Radko, Applicant/Owner Lorali Totten, Crest Engineering **Date Application Submitted:** March 27, 2017 **Board Professional Review Letter Dated (Attached): Brief description of proposed development:** The applicant is requesting approval to allow all the uses (6 different uses) in the VC Zone. The applicant was asked to speak with the fire official and the code official to assure there would not be reason for exterior changes and if so they could receive any approval necessary at the time of the hearing.

Application Deemed Complete: ■ YES □ NO □ N/A

DISCUSSIC	DNS:
PB165-09	Prologis South Block 10, Lots 4.01, 19.01 & 19.02, Zone I-LIS 66 Station Road Informal discussion, possible amendment to original approval
Applicant's	Representatives Attending:
Edwin Cabal	DeGrezia, Esquire lero, P.E. Traffic Consultant
Date Applic	ation Submitted:
N/A – Inform	nal discussion
Board Profe	essional Review Letter Dated (Attached):
N/A	
Brief descrip	ption of proposed development:
efficient use better truck o	oposed to remove Building #3 and add loading to Building #2 to provide more of the property and improve circulation, with the guard house pulled back to allow queuing. Application was considering minor enough applicant could submit directly ineer for completeness.

Application Deemed Complete: \square YES \square NO \square N/A